CONFIDENTIAL

1952-54 **File No.** CS 92

P.W. **DEPARTMENT**

B CLAIMS **F BRANCH**

Subject:— Complaints against [illegible]

B (K) 1954

1) Shri Satyappa Gorindappa Hugar (Complaint against Shri Nilappa Tongal, Canal Inspector at Dupdal.

2) Narayanrao Patankar (Complaint against Shri R. B Khande Overseer with Madhya Bharat Govt.)

CONFIDENTIAL

File No. CS 92 · F

Govt. Central Stip. 21 A—

File No. CS 92 Part No. _____

This file contains the following serial numbers.

Serial No.	Year.	Serial No.	Year.
10677	1953	117920	1953
26932	"	119148	"
60651	"	130998	"
80550	"	144618	"
109971	"	3989	1954
114946	"	17655	"
49743	1954	30091	"
26972	1952	97012	"
44100	"		
45917	"		
55097	"		
53991	"		
12599	"		
110619	"		
14042	"		
27703	1953		
28102	"		
16422	"		
56776	"		
96719	"		
101108	"		
112115	"		

File No. 159² Part No. _____

This file contains the following serial numbers.

Serial No.	Year.	Serial No.	Year.
10677	1953	112920	1953
36932	"	119148	"
60651	"	130998	"
80550	"	144618	"
109971	"	3989	1954
114946	"	17655	"
49743	1954	30091	"
26972	1952	97012	"
44100	"		
45917	"		
55097	"		
55-991	"		
62599	"		
110619	"		
140112	"		
27703	1953		
28102	"		
46422	"		
56776	"		
96719	"		
105408	"		
2115	"		

Std. Sectt. 78A e.

G. R., G. D., No. 2408, dated 22-6-22.]

Correspondence

DOCKET SHEET (B)

Subject :—

File No. CS92

P. W. **DEPT.**

F **BRANCH.**

References :—

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.
		[illegible] Tarapur [illegible] Dte.

4

To,

The Executive Engineer, Gokak Canal.

Dhupadal Camp

Respected Sir,

We the undersigned Rayats of Hunshal Village and Water Sir Panch and Village Officers beg to put in the following for favour of kind considertaion and immediate action in the -- case.

1.The Water Inspector Neelappa Toragal has become very -- currupt and has become very arrogant and thinking himself as a big Officers.Whenever we go to him he uses such bad words that are not tolerable.He wants Sarbarai when he comes to the Village When Water Pali comes to our Village.One who gives him something he gets full water.One does not,will not get water till -- his crop dries up and though this fact is brought to his notice he cares not bu says what am I to do for it.As he has become -- permanent resident of this part he knows how to manuvior the tactics of the Rayats and how to curb them under pressure and sqeez money from them.He has become Inamdar of our village as unless every year a certain amount of cash and corns he gets from our village,our village will not get water.So to say he - has become Head Officer of the Water department and he has -- thought himself that there none over him he is the and the.-- We also told him orally that saheb if do not hear our grievanc we shall have to approach higher authorities,he plainly says - that there is no objection to do and he says it fearlessly.We once brought this fact to the over-seer who also did not heed. Then we made three four application to your Honour also in -- Kannad they also are no where.We are much aggrived and destre -ssed by his behavour and conduct towards the Rayats.He has never thought that he is meant for Rayats.He has become arrog and dominating his power upon the poor Agriculturits whose li: stone is based.He seems to have fogotton everything because h holds the job of Water Inspector.

In view of these circumstances,it is highly desirable that he should be transferred from this place in such place where should learn a lesson how to behave with the Public and in general with his official work.So that the Government officials should -- know their own responsibilty and why theyy are appointed for.

If at all your Honour persists to keep him here only and encourage him to continue the teasing and harrassing and trou--bling us then we are helpless and shall suffer a great trouble - and your Honour will be the cause for our sufferings.

We therefore once again pray your Honour to be kind enough to treat this application and good view and sympathy and - on enquiry he should be transferred from this place.

We pray your Honour to camp specially for enquiry into the case and decide the matter.We do not press your Honour that -- Your Honour should believe in this application and take action,but come personally to our village and camp and call these signaturies and after satisfying all the facts,take steps against him which will fit his actions.

For which act of kindness we shall ever remain -- grateful and obliged.

Thanking your Honour.

Yours faithully.

Hunshyal -Paragana
Gokak.P.O.& Hunshyal
27-11-1952.

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర ఎడమ చేతి చూపుడు వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర ఎడమ చేతి మధ్య వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర ఎడమ చేతి ఉంగరపు వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర ఎడమ చేతి చిటికెన వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర ఎడమ చేతి బొటన వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర కుడి చేతి బొటన వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర కుడి చేతి చూపుడు వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర కుడి చేతి మధ్య వేలిది



ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర కుడి చేతి ఉంగరపు వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర కుడి చేతి చిటికెన వేలిది

ఈ చేతి వేలి ముద్ర కుడి చేతి బొటన వేలిది

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ కొట్టేరి గలిసిం చూచుటకై

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁనొంచ్చి ఎంకట్టేరి రండలసి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁరాచ్చే చ్చిబాళ చ్చవడి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁపట్టి చ్చే ఛ్రిచుచ్చల చ్చేశ్చ

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ.ఖాండ చ్చ రాచూస్స చేళ్ళ ఖిలి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ చేశ్చేరచ్చ ల చ్చశ్చగ్గై కుశ్చేశ్చ



ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁఖ్ఖేరచ్చ నత్తి చ్చ చేళ్ళ చునట్టి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁనిచ్చ చుళ్ళ చ్చ చూసి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ ఖ్ఖిళ్ళ లు ఖాంబిం చ్చ న్న గట్టి



ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ ఖ్ఖిళ్ళ లు చ్చ ద్దిం గ చ్చ వెచ్చి శ్శేశ్చ బే

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ ఖ్ఖిళ్ళ లుం చ్చ బ గ చ్చ యు చ్చ నోంక రి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ ఖ్ఖిళ్ళ లుం చ్చ బ గ చ్చ యు చ్చ నోంక రి

ఈ-ఎ-ఓ-ఖతుఁ ఖ్ఖిళ్ళ లు చ్చ ద్దిం గ చ్చ వెచ్చి శ్శేశ్చ బే

ఉ-చ-ఱ కుటుంబమున చేసినయెడల పాపపరిహారమగును

ఉ-చ-ఱ-కుటుంబసభ్యులచేత బోళ్ళగింపవలయు

ఉ-చ-ఱ-కుటుంబశ్రేయోభిలాషులైన బ్రహ్మణ క్షత్రియ



ఉ-చ-ఇ-కుటుంబమునకు పాపపరిహారమగును

ఉ-చ-ఇ-కుటుంబసభ్యులచేత చరమక్రియలు జరుపవలయును

ఉ-చ-ఇ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఉ-చ-ఇ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఉ-చ-ఈ-కుటుంబసభ్యులు ఏకాదశాహ కార్యము

ఉ-చ-ఈ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఉ-చ-ఈ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఉ-చ-ఈ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఉ-చ-ఈ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఉ-చ-ఈ-కుటుంబమునకు చెందినవారు చనిపోయినచో

ఆయుర్వేద ప్రత్యేకత ఏమిటంటే శరీరంలో వాత పిత్త కఫాల నిష్పత్తి
శరీరాన్ని, మనస్సు వ్యక్తిని ఆరోగ్యంగా ఉంచడానికి —

విధేయుడు:- కేవాల ఇవ్వడానికి ఎలక్ట్రా తొలగడానికి
ఇవరం చెప్పండి తరువాత చేర్చడానికి —

మహనీయులకు,

నిష్పత్తి-లో-లోపాన్ని దినాంశాలలో చేర్చుటకు తల్లులకు

నవరత్నాలలో చెప్పబడిన వాటిని స్వతహాగా ఆహారంలో చేర్చుతారు.
అట్లాకాకుండా ఏదైనా కేవాల ఇవ్వడానికి ఎలక్ట్రా తొలగడానికి
ఇవరాన్ని నిర్ధారించి కేవాలు ఎలా ఇవ్వాలనే దాని కొరకు నవరత్న లతిని, కేవాల
కాంబినేషన్ (స్థానికంగా) ఉన్న వీళ్ళు మాకు తెలిస్తే తెలియజేయగలరు. మరియు ఉన్న
కేవాలు ఎంత ఇవ్వాలనే దానికొరకు నవరత్నాలలో ఉపయోగించిన కేవాల ఇవ్వడానికి
వాటిని తెలియజేయగలరు.

ఎలక్ట్రా కేవాల ఇవ్వడానికి ఇవరాలకు ఇరకేవరకు

9 NS 92/10677

F.Br. P.W.D.

This is an application from some of the irrigators on Dhupdal Canal requesting early removal of Shri Neelappa Torgal Canal Inspector. The Canal Inspector is stated to be in a habit of accepting illegal gratification. The application may perhaps be forwarded to the Exe. Engr. Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal for report. If so the draft put up may issue

~.02-16 pc

19.2.53

Dy. Secy. 19/2 (20.2.53)

Mr. S.E. seems to be of Karnataka P. Circle. Endorsement may be addressed to him

Below application, dt. 16.1.53 from Shri Satyappa Govindappa Hugar req: allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector

PP-9.14

No. NS 92/10677.F.

P.W.D.,

B.C. (Bbay No.1), 16 Feb 53.

Forwarded to the Ex. Engr., Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal for immediate report.

2. The Ex. Engr. is requested to submit his report thro: the SE., K.D.C

By order of

Asstt. Secy to the Govt. of Bbay

Copy to the SE., K.D.C. for information.

JRB
13.2.53.

16/

(Please return this Memorandum.)

No. NS 92/10677 F.
Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1),
16th February 1953.

Subject:-Allegation against
Shri Neelappa Torgal,
Canal Inspector.

Forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal for immediate report.

2. The Executive Engineer is requested to submit his report through the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Bombay,

A/c

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department.

D. A.-Application, dated 16th January 1953, from Shri Satyappa Govindappa Hugar.

Copy to the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle, for information.

ISSUED with cwtt
17-2-53

4
YD16-2.

NS 92/10677

F Bo.

PWD

The Ex. Engr. Gl. Upjohn Ft. Bk. cancel
expedited under No. NS 92/10677:1 dtd. 3-1953

Issued
20th Mar 53
BSJ

MSK
19/3/53

Supdt

F 13

To,

The Honourable Minister for Agriculture and Forests,

M.(P.W.D.)

Government of Bombay State,

BOMBAY.

Sub- Complaints against one Canal Inspector Shri.Neelappa Torgal of Dhupadal Irrigation Canal regarding his arrogant untolerable conduct towards the Hunshyal Rayats and -- practice of Illegal gratification from the Canal Rayats.

May it please your Honourable Sir,

We had complained against one Neelappa Torgal,the Canal Inspector of Irrigation Department of Dhupadal Canal giving his troubles to the Rayats who take Canal water by demanding bribery from them and Sarabarai always to him during the whole of the year and those who do not give him bribery or offer Sarabari to him as per his wish etc he has stopped water to them and unncecessarily troubled him and used bad wwords etc.We had stated all the facts in our application to the Executive Engineer,Gokak Canal dated 27-11-1952,and also requested the Said Executive Engineer Dhupadal Canl to hold proper enquiry into the case and redress our Grive--nces by taking actions against the said Canal Inspector.But the Executive Engineer did not care properly into the case and deal -- with the matter xs and redress our troubles.But simply he the -- Executive Engineer taking lenient view on the serious offence and conduct of the Canal Inspector,any how managed to give a simple reply which in any away satisfies us.Being aggrived of the -- decision of the Executive Engineer and his trend being to protect the Canal Inspector though his offences are serious ones in the eyes of fair execution of his work for which he has been meant, has left this matter aside and decided that "the canal Inspector is taken into the office and in his place another man is sent.-- this has lead us to appeal to your Honourable sir,that this sort of punishment for such a serious offence is not at all valued by the Official Administration.Because when the Executive Engineer gave his final reply in our hand,on the spot the said Canal -- Inspector Shri Neelappa was present and ueetreed such rubbish --

See. P.W.D. 25/1/53

27/1/53

words which we are ready to prove in the presence of the Responsi--ble Government Officer who may be detailed for holding fresh -- Enquiry into the matter once again.If our allegation is disproved we are ready to undergo any punishment that your Honour may award but we WANT FAIR ENQUIRY AND DECISION IN THE MATTER. When we are -- actually coming forward to prove his conduct in both documentary and orally.,Doe not your Honour believe us and appoint an independ-ant officer for the purpose and test us in this case and see the -- result ? The said Canal Inspector has become doible arrogant now because the Executive Engineer upheld and did not punish him accord-ing to his worth,will it not be out of place to say that tha -- Government did not take care for our torture caused to us by the -- petty subordinate officer holding simply a Canal Inspector's post and dominate his power over the poor rayats who are always bound to be loyal to the Government.Now when the Officers dod not reply our call who is there to hear us tell us your Honourable Sir?

We are much afaird of this petty officer who is taken into officer,We are sure he got more chance to tease and harras usin whatever way he finds the opportunity.

We pray your Honourable Sir,to appoint any independent impartial Officer to enquire into the case and give out final -- decision in the case.

However we want to give two instances as to -- the canal Inspector's atrocities in addition to many which are at our hands to produce before the officer who will be appointed for the purpose.

1.S.N.3/3 is surrounded by Stone Bandhara (WADDA),-- to that land water was taken,that water came out of the Wadda and thus the water was sopied and so he the Rayat was fined,because he had refused to offer Brivery and did not make any Sarabarai to him when he came there.

2.S.N.232 is beyond the River.One side Canal and another side River.This land was taxed and the tax recovered.When the land does not take water why the land should be taxed ?

There are many of this kind and there are proofs against this mand.There being many of instances of this nature though we -- gave before the Executive Enginner,he did not mind them but took --

to save his subordinate against the will of the poor loyal Rayats.

We are really paind at heart at the decision of the Executive -- Engineer, and have come to your Honour with a request to be kind -- enough to appoint an impartial officer to hold proper enquiry into the matter and see that the real culprit is properly punished and removed from this division, to any other division on the ground of punishment or if he is found fit he may be removed from his post and save us from futhre troubles. We are sure, if he is continued to serve in the office or anywhere in this division, he is the last man to spare us from giving us troubles in the way of Revengful action against us under the plea that we had allegllled against him to the higher authorities.

Hence our genuine prayer before your Honour is that to make proper inquiry into the case and deal with the matter both by common sense and justice of law and quity etc and see that the real offender is properly punished for his bad conduct.

We are enclosing herewith the copy of the application -- submitted to the Executive Enginner and the Executive Engineer's reply No.B.M.I 8/207, dated 6th, January 52 for faour of your Honour's -- information and for further action in the matter.

Enclosure:-

1. A copy of the Application to the Executive Engineer Dhupadal Canal.
1. Executive Enginneer's reply No.B.M.I.8/207, dated 6-1-52 in Kannad.

2.

Hunshyal, Paragan Gokak. Yours most faithfully,

16-1-1953.

Copy to the Superintendening Engineer,

Irrigation, Dharwar for favour of recommending our applica-tion to the higher Authorities.

* 17

(Please return this Memorandum.)

No. NS 92/10677 F.
Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1),
16th February 1953.

Subject:-Allegation against
Shri Neelappa Torgal,
Canal Inspector.

Forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal for immediate report.

2. The Executive Engineer is requested to submit his report through the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Bombay,

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department.

D. A.-Application, dated 16th January 1953, from Shri Satyappa Govindappa Hugar.

Copy to the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle, for information.

4
YD16-2.

LETTER.

No.G.14. 3375 Office of the Executive Engr.,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division
P.O.- Ghataprabha (Belgaum Dist.),
Dated: 16-4 March 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
P. W. D. Secretariat,
BOMBAY.

S. E., K. L. C.
Inward No. G-14
Dated 17-3-53

Subject:- Allegations against Shri.Neelapp Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference : Government (Public Works Department) No. NS. 92/10677-F dated the 16th February 1953.

2. On 12- 8- 1952, some irrigators of Hunshal village complained to me that some bigar pali - panchanamas had been drawn against them by the patkari of the beat under the instigation of Shri. Neelapa Torgal, Canal Inspector although water had not been taken by them to their crops and that they desired that the cases should be examined on site. On receipt of this request the Deputy Engineer in charge and one overseer were sent to Hunshal on the same day to inspect the crops in question. On inspection, it was found that most of the crops had been irrigated without getting the passes date and as regards some ground nut crops sown in mal - - land the Deputy Engineer could not definitely say whether they had been watered. As there was no sufficient evidence to show that the ground nut crops had been watered (as it was murumy land) the panchanamas held for these Sy.Nos. were cancelled by me to give the benefit of doubt to the irrigators and the panchanamas in the remaining Sy Nos. were sanctioned by me.

3. The strained relations between the Canal Inspector and irrigators of Hunshal village began probably from the above date. On 27.11.1952, a complaint from a number of irrigators from Hunshal was received in this office against the Canal Inspector. The points mentioned by the irrigators were as under:-

(1) The Canal Inspector has become very corrup

(2) He has become very arrogant and teases the Rayats to squeaze money.

(3) Personal inquiry should be made and the Canal Inspector should be transferred from this place.

P. T. O.

4. On receipt of this application a personal enquiry was made by the Executive Engineer in company with the Deputy Engineer, "A" Sub-Division and the Sectional Officer, Dhupdal, on 8-12-1952 near Hunshal when some of the signatories of the application were present. Three persons came forward telling that they had given the Canal Inspector some money and corn to get canal water. Their complaints were taken down in kannad on spot by the overseer in my presence and were read out to them. They told that most of their complaints regarding corruption pertained to the previous seasons and that there was no complaint for the current season and that they were getting water regularly without any hitch or illegal demand from the canal staff. On being questioned as to why they did not complain immediately when the bribe was demanded, they told that they were ignorant people and did not know that there were any higher officers to whom they should approach. This was difficult to believe as the canal irrigation has been established on the Gokak Canal since over 50 years. On this the explanation of the Canal Inspector was taken. He told that he had drawn panchanamas regarding wastage of water to a large extent against 40 persons during Rabi 1951-52 and hence the irrigators have all united and are complaining against him. He has not taken anything from anybody.

5. As there was no direct evidence to prove their allegations, which mostly pertained to previous seasons, the Executive Engineer could not take any definite disciplinary action. It was however clear that the relations between the irrigators of Hunshal and the Canal Inspector were very incordial and matters would be worse if he were continued in his charge. The irrigators had also requested in writing and also orally at the time of personal enquiry that they would be contented if he were transferred from that place. He was therefore transferred and was asked to work in the canal office to clear off the arrears until further orders. This was done in compliance with the request of the irrigators in their applications so as to make matters smooth and improve the canal administration. The irrigators of Hunshal were informed accordingly.

6. On receiving the application of these very irrigators of Hunshal addressed to Government, the Undersigned was surprised to see that they complained to Government stating that the Canal Inspector was not properly dealt with and that the Executive Engineer had shielded him in spite of his grave offences etc. The Executive Engineer, therefore, requested the Mamlatdar, Gokak and the Assistant Project Officer, Hukeri, to accompany him to the village to make further thorough inquiries in the matter. This enquiry was accordingly held on 21.2.1953. In all four irrigators including the three who had already complained came forward with complaints regarding bribe and corruption and their statements were got attested from them. This time also they admitted that they had no complaint to make in respect of the rabi season which had just ended. These complaints pertained to previous seasons and some of them one or two years old. Out of the four

complaints the three previously recorded could not be proceeded with further for want of direct evidence as mentioned above. The fourth complaint related to the replacement of a pipe 9" diameter in place of the old 6" diameter one by receiving illegal gratification of Rs 90/- during the year 1951-52. This complaint was further inquired into. It transpired that as there were standing complaints from the irrigators regarding short supply from the Desai out-let due to smaller diameter of pipe, the Sectional Officer suggested that the pipe should be replaced by a larger diameter one with due permission from higher authorities. Subsequently the Canal Inspector acted upon this suggestion without taking permission from the higher authorities and replaced the existing 6" diameter pipe by another one 9" diameter which was available as surplus elsewhere on the Canal. The Canal Inspector says he did this in the interest of efficient distribution of irrigation water within the normal period of rotation. It is now difficult to get direct evidence for his having accepted the bribe but the circumstances are rather suspicious. Orders of the Superintending Engineer are being obtained on this point. The Canal Inspector has already been removed from Canal charge and he will be dealt with as per Superintending Engineer's orders.

7. Inquiries were made about the conduct and character of this Canal Inspector in the adjoining villages under the canal and in his charge. There have been no complaints. Certain members of the Taluka Development Board also expressed that he is a strong and efficient Canal Inspector and has considerably succeeded in enforcing discipline in irrigation matters on this Canal. Now-a-days the practice of pre-dating passes and taking out panchanamas for all thefts and mischiefs detected is being enforced rigidly and where lapses are noticed the canal staff and irrigators are penalized. This is due to unsatisfactory water supply conditions and ever increasing area under irrigation. During the last kharif season the area under irrigation has been the maximum ever recorded so far on this canal and the same is most probably going to be the case for the latest Rabi (of 1952-53). The irrigators can, therefore, no longer get any concessions and they are feeling the pressure. It is a fact that this Canal Inspector has taken out panchanamas for wastage of water etc in several cases in Hunshal village which have resulted in rather heavy penalties during 1951-52 Rabi. This also is possibly a reason for such tense relations between the Canal staff and irrigators.

8. Referring to the two instances of injustice mentioned by the applicants the following points are recorded in the inquiry:-

Complaint regarding Sy.No.3/3.-

This complaint is regarding the panchanama drawn during 1951-52 Rabi for the pot-hissa owned by Shri.Adivappa Basalingappa Nesargi for wastage of water. The area was 10 gunthas and penalty was Rs 0-10-0.

P.T.O.

The verification of this panchanama reveals that it had been drawn in the first instance by Shri.Abdulla Sadulla patkari of the beat on 9.1.1952. The same had been checked by the Sectional Officer on 10.1.1952 and then by Shri.Torgal,Canal Inspector on 11.1.1952. It has also been signed by Shri.Sattyappa Appayappa Kamat, the local member of the Water Panchayat Committee. It was forwarded to the Village Officer for recording the statements of the owner but it has been returned by him with a remark that the occupant did not turn up when called for. There is no complaint from the party concerned even now. As the matter is more than a year old, the circumstances existing then cannot be verified now.

Wrong assessment in respect of Sy.No.232.-

The records reveal that this Sy.No. had been assessed during 1949-50 rabi. The area has not been measured at all. The assessment was made as per rules because the area was applied for in the water application by the irrigator himself and sanctioned. The demand statement was prepared by Shri.R.A.Kulkarni,measurer and Shri.Torgal,Canal Inspector, was in no way concerned in the matter. Had the affected party approached the Sub-Divisional Officer or the Executive Engineer, action to sanction refund would have certainly been taken. The area applied for was only 10 gunthas for brinjal and the irrigation charges levied were Rs 1-14-0.

9. From the above it will be seen that Shri.Torgal Canal Inspector is not concerned in any way with the two complaints mentioned by the applicants.

10. The original application received with Govt. P.W.D.Memorandum No.NS.92/10677-F dated 16th February 1953 is returned herewith together with a copy of the memorandum as desired.

Encl.-
as above.

Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division.

Through:-

The Superintending Engineer,Karnatak Irrigation Circle, Dharwar, with compliments.

Pp-17-20 ank-

Below letter No. G-14/3375, dated the 16th March 1953 from the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division, to the Chief Engineer to Government, Public Works Department, Bombay. (Subject:- Allegations against Shri. Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector).

No. G-14 Office of the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle, Dharwar, 27th March 1953.

Passed on, with compliments, to the Chief Engineer to Government, Public Works Department, Bombay.

2. On page No.2 of the representation dated the 16th January 1953, two instances have been quoted as being two of the many 'atrocities' committed by Shri. Torgal, the Canal Inspector. Both these instances cited have been dealt with in paragraph No.8 of the Executive Engineer's above letter. It will be seen from what the Executive Engineer states, that there was nothing wrong on the part of the Canal Inspector in the action taken in those two instances which cannot in any way be termed as atrocities.

The Undersigned has obtained from the Executive Engineer and gone through, the Panchanama, relating to the Survey No.3/3 and the Water Application in respect of the Survey No.232, referred to in the instances. It is particularly brought to notice that Shri. Sattyappa Appayya Kamat (a member of the Water Panchayat Committee) who is one of the signatories to the representation dated the 16th January 1953 (vide please the last signature thereon), was one of the two Panchas who signed the Panchanama in respect of unauthorised use and wastage of water by the occupants of the Survey No.3/3 referred to above. Shri. Kamat has signed the certificate, as Sar Panch on the Panchanamas:- "Inspected the nature of offence and found correct." It is therefore quite inconsistent on the part of Shri. S.A.Kamat, to have signed the representation quoting the penalising in the case of ~~xxxxxxx~~ Survey No.3/3 as one of the atrocities committed by Shri. Torgal.

3. As stated by the Executive Engineer, it appears that the complaints against the Canal Inspector have arisen due to the several Panchanamas instituted by the Canal Inspector and the resultant penalties inflicted on the offenders.

That the Irrigation offences ~~x~~ for which the penalties were inflicted were actually perpetrated cannot be denied in view of the regular procedure followed by drawing up Panchanamas &c. But as to whether Shri. Torgal, the Canal Inspector did receive illegal gratification in the past as alleged (or was instrumental in bringing the offenders to book only when or because he did not receive illegal gratification) could not, as stated by the Executive Engineer, be proved for want of direct evidence.

4. However, as regards the complaint recorded in the presence of the Executive Engineer on 21st February 1953 about a 6 inch diameter irrigation pipe having been replaced by the Canal Inspector with one of 9 inch diameter after allegedly receiving a bribe of Rs.90/- (vide please para No.6 of the Executive Engineer's letter), the matter really seems suspicious, replacement

of...

of the pipe having been done by the Canal Inspector without obtaining any written orders. This is being enquired into further and the Canal Inspector will be dealt with suitably.

5. As regards the transfer of the Canal Inspector effected by the Executive Engineer, after the receipt by him of, and investigation into, the representation dated the 27th November 1952 which was addressed to the Executive Engineer directly, it is a fact that at two places in that representation, specific request was made for transfer of the Canal Inspector. The Undersigned sees no reason to believe that anything to shield the Canal Inspector was done by the Executive Engineer.

Superintending Engineer, K. I. C.

NS 92/36932

P.W.D.
"F"

Shri Satyappa Govindappa Hugar and eight others have complained that Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector at Hunshal village is corrupt, arrogant and squeezes money from poor irrigators. The signatories have quoted two instances of the alleged 'atrocities' committed by Shri Torgal. These are the cases of panchanamas relating to Survey No.3/3 and the water application in respect of the survey No.232.

2. The Ex.Engr has stated that on receipt of similar representation, the Ex.Engr. had made personal enquiries and although he suspected of the unauthorised use of water by the irrigators, the Ex.Engr. cancelled the panchanama as there was no direct evidence to show that the ground-nut crops had been watered. The irrigators complained for the second time in December 1952 and although the charges made against Shri Torgal could not be proved, it was clear to the Ex.Engr. that the relations between the Canal Inspector and the irrigators were incordial. The Ex.Engr. therefore transferred the Canal Inspector. On receipt of Govt. Memo., the Ex.Engr. again called on the signatories and they agreed that their complaints were not as regards the rabi season which had just ended but the complaints pertained to the previous season, and as has been explained before by the Ex.Engr., no direct evidence was available. The Ex.Engr. has further remarked that the allegation about acceptance of illegal gratification of Rs.90/- by Shri Torgal is being investigated into.

3. The S.E., K.B.C. has stated that he obtained from the Ex.Engr. and gone through panchanamas relating to the survey No.3/3/ and the water application in respect of survey No.232 referred to and he is concinved that the complaints against the Canal Inspector have arisen due to the several panchanamas instituted by the

Canal .....

Canal Inspector and the resultant penalties inflicted on the offenders. The S.E. has remarked that the fact that irrigation offences for which the penalties were inflicted were actually perpetrated cannot be denied in view of the regular procedure followed by drawing up panchanamas etc. The S.E. has also assured that the Canal Inspector will be properly dealt with for the 6" diameter irrigation pipe having been replaced by the Canal Inspector with one of 9" diameter, after accepting a bribe of Rs. 90/-

4. In view of the S.E's report, it is for consideration whether the matter may be considered as closed and the S.E. may only be asked to report the action taken by him in the matter of alleged acceptance of illegal gratification of Rs.90/- by the Canal Inspector.

S.S./14-4-53.

may be done as per para 4 above

Dy. Secy.

Cr. (R&B)

Cr. (I).

Secy.

X

X 30/4

R. P. W. D.

The conduct of the Canal Inspector does not seem free from doubt. The benefit of doubt was given to the irrigators and this action reflects that on the attitude of the Canal Inspector. The matter of change in piping should be investigated as quick early as possible and reported to me.

D.S.

5/5

3/5/53

~~25~~ NS 92/36932

29

F.Br. P.W.D.

Resubmitted with a draft-

for approval

SRI
9.5.53

Dy. Secy. 8/5/53

~~24~~ 31 NS 92/36932

Letter

Confidential.

P.W.D.,

No. NS 92/36932 F

B.C. (Bbay No.1) 9th May 53

To

The SE., K.I.C.

Sub: Allegations against Shri. Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Ref: Correspondence resting with your (P-71 and) endt. No. C.14, dt. the 17th March 1953

2. Please refer to para 4 of your endt. referred to above and furnish full details of the case with your remarks thereon at an early date.

Encl. - Nil.

By order &c.

Asstt. Secy to the Govt. of Bbay P.W.D.

Issued 11/5/53

11/5

25 23

CONFIDENTIAL.
No. G-14.

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar, 20th May 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department, Bombay-1.

Subject:- Allegations against Shri. Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference: Your letter No. NS.92/36932-F, dated the 9th May 1953.

Instructions have already been issued to the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division, for a thorough investigation into the matter and holding a proper enquiry, charge-sheeting the subordinate (who is borne on the Divisional cadre) since, prima facie, there is also the irregularity on the part of the Canal Inspector of having replaced the pipe without a written authority to, or possibly even a written report from, him. The Executive Engineer was also instructed that, after completing the holding of the enquiry, a report, giving all the particulars, stating how the subordinate is proposed to be dealt with, should be submitted to this office, in order to see that adequate punishment, as may be due, is meted out to the subordinate.

2. The Executive Engineer has now again been expedited in the matter, and for furnishing the full details of the case which, on receipt from him, will be communicated to Government as desired, together with my remarks.

Superintending Engineer, K. I. C.

NS 92/60651

F.Br. P.W.D

Further developments in the matter may be awaited.

26.5.53

AS(I).

F.Br. P.W.D.

Submitted with a draft for approval.

27.6.53

AS(I)

P. W. D.
File No. NS 92
Serial No. 60651

~~3~~ 35 NS 92/60651

Letter

Confidential,
No. NS 92/60651.F.

P.W.D.,
B.C (Bstan No.1) 29th June 53

To
The SE., K.D.C

Sub: Allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Please refer to the correspondence resting with your letter No. C.14, dt. the 20th May 1953 on the above mentioned subject and P. 29 ante
Land State further developments in the matter, if any

DH. - Nil

29.6.53.

By order etc.
Asst. Secy to the Govt of Bstan
P.W.D.

Issued
30/6/53

(18/7)

Letter.

Confidential.

No.G-14.

Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Post Box No.28,
Dharwar, 7th July 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Allegations against Shri.Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference: Govt.Letter, P.W.D.No.NS 92/6065-F(Confl), dated 29th June 1953.

The report called for from the Executive Engineer, G.L.B.C.Dn., in the matter, is still awaited from him. He has been expedited again.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C.

NS 92/80550

F.Br. P.W.D.

Await

11.7.58

11/7/58

AS(I)

P. W. D.
File No. NS92
Serial No. 80550

The S.E. K.S.C. may be expedited as per draft put-up.

5.8.58

5/8/58

AS(I)

~~3539~~ NS 92/80550.

Confidential. Letter.

No. NS 92/80550. F. P. W. D.,
B. C. (Bbay No. 1) 5th Aug 53

To
The SE., K. D. C.

Sub: Allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector

Please refer to the correspondence resting with your letter No. C. 14, dt. 7.7.53, on the above mentioned subject, and state further developments in the matter, if any.

DA:- Nil

By order etc.

5.8.53.

Asst. Secy. to the Govt of Bbay
P. W. D.

Received
7/8/53

NS-92/80550 36 -

For. PWD

The S.E, K.I.C. ex/20. Und & No. NS-92/80550F,

& dt 31-8-53.

31/8/53

31-8-53.

31/8/53

Letter

Confidential

No.G-14.

From

Karnataka Irrigation Circle,
Post Box No.28,
Dharwar, 9th Dec. 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Allegations against Shri.Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference:- Government reminder card No.IRD-92/ 80550 F, dated the 31st Aug.1953.

The report called for from the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division No.1, Saundatti, is still awaited.

The Executive Engineer is being expedited again for disposal of the case without further delay.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C.

NS 92/10816.6

FBS.

Await.

PWD

AJ(I)



43

CONFIDENTIAL.

No.G-14.

Office of the Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Divn.No.1,
Ghataprabha: 17th September 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
B O M B A Y.

Subject: Allegations against Shri.Neelapa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference: Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle's (Confidential) letter No.G-14, dated 9th September 1953, addressed to you and copy endorsed to this office.

The inquiry in connection with the above has already been completed and report will be submitted to Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle, Dharwar, within 3 or 4 days.

Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Divn.No.1.

VSD/16:9.

+47

CONFIDENTIAL.
No. G-14.

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar, 26th Septr.'53.

To

The Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.No.I,
Ghataprabha.

Subject:- Allegations against Shri.Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference: Your letter No.G-14(Confidential), dated the 17th Septr.1953 addressed to Government and copy endorsed to this office.

The report promised to be submitted to this office by about the 20th Septr.1953, has not yet been received. Its submission should please be expedited.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C.

Copy f.w.cs. to the Chief Engineer to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, Bombay.

26-9.

NS.92/1149 46

42

FBr.

Await.

3/10/53

P.W.D

A.J.(I)

N.S. 92/1149 46

FBr

P.W.D

The SEKIC exhd under No NS-92/1149 46F, 7-D

& the 9-11-53

5/11/53

6/11/53

9/11

9/11/53

47 ~~4~~ NS-93/114946

P-WD

FBr.

The S.E, K.I.C. expd. wks no NS-93/114946F, dt the 27-11-53.

27/11/53

Supdt. 27.11.53.

27/11/53

27/11

NB-93/114946

FYr.

Resubmitted with a draft P2546 for appvl. PWD

22/12/23

22/12/23

ASCE

~~45~~ 49 NS-93/114946

Exp. Letter

From — Bonworks, B'lore

To — The S.E., K.I.C.

Contdl.

No. NS-93/114946F.

P.W.D.,
B.C. (B'lore No.1),
23rd Dec.93.

Ref Please ~~refer~~ ~~to~~ your endt ~~No.~~ Pt4 (Contdl)
No. G-14, dt the 26-9-93 and subsequent
Govt. reminders No. NS-93/114946F, dt the
Pt2 Pt3
9th and the 27th Nov. 93, req. allegations
against Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal
Inspector.

2. Please submit. to Govt.

a——

a final report in the matter without further delay.

Supdt., P.W.D. Sectt.

HD
22/12/53.

FR.

Resubmitted with a draft for approval

P.W.D
342-48

HD
13/1/54

Dy. Secy. (Admn.) & 9/1

~~47~~ 51 NS-93/114946

Confl.
D.O.No. NS-93/114946F

P.W.D. Secty,
B'bay No. 1,
15th Jan. 54.

Dear

Kindly refer to your endt. No. P41 and subsequent Govt G-14, dt the 26-9-53 reminders No. NS-92/114946F, dt the 9-11-53 P-42 and the 27-11-53 P43 and an exp. reminder of even number dt the 23-12-53, PP45-46 re: allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

2. Will you please send in your final report in the matter at your earliest?

~~5/8~~

earliest?

Yours

(A.D. Telang).

Shri A.G. Naik, B.E., M.I.E.(Ind.), B.S.E.(I),
S.E., K.I.C
Dharwar

14/11/54

PWD

Answer
15/1/54

FBr.
Resubmitted with a draft for c/s/nd.

23/11/54

Asstt Dy

4953 NS.93/1149946

P.W.D. Secrt.,
B'lore No. 1,
24 -2-54.

Confdl.
D.O. No. NS.93/1149946F.

Dear

Please refer to the correspondence ending with my D.O. letter (Confdl.) No. NS.93/1149946F, PP47248 & the 15-1-54, re: allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

2. I shall be very grateful if a final report in the matter is submitted to Govt. immediately.

Yours

(A.D. Telang)

Shri

Shri A.G. Naik, B.E., M.I.E.(Ind.), B.S.E.(I),
S.E., K.I.C, Dharwar.

HD
23/12/54

P.W.D

FR.

Resubmitted.

2. Please see M(P.W.D)'s note (P24) & the 3-5-53 and the S.E., K.I.C.'s letter (P29) & the 20-5-53. A final report regarding the alleged replacement of a pipe by Shri Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector, is awaited from the S.E., K.I.C. The S.E. was awaiting a report in the matter in septr: 1953, from the E.E., G.L.B.C. Dn No 1, vide the S.E.'s endt. (P41) & the 26-9-53. Since then we have exptd. the S.E. five times — twice demi-officially. over Addl D.S.'s signature. As nothing has been heard from the S.E. so far, a D.O. reminder over the Secy's signature may perhaps be sent to the S.E.

3. Necy. draft (P52) is put up for approval.

2/4/54

Addl D.S.

Secy.

3/4

NS 93/114946

P.W.D. Secty,
B'bay No. 1,
5th April 54.

Confl
D.O. No. NS-93/114946F.

Dear Naik,

Please refer to the correspondence ending with D.O. letter No. NS-93/114946F, dt. 24-2-54, from Shri A.D. Telang, D.S. to Govt., re: allegations against Shri Neelakha Torgal, Canal Inspector.

This case has been pending for about a year now. Will you, therefore, kindly give personal attention to the matter and send in a final report to Govt. immediately? Please also let me know the circumstances in which the matter could not be finalised for such a long time.

Yours sincerely,
N.K. Dravid

(N.K. Dravid).

Shri A.G. Naik, B.E., M.I.E.(Ind), B.S.E.(I),
S.E., K.I.C.,
Dharwar.

5/4/54

5/4/54

Ex. 1

CONFIDENTIAL.

No.G.14. Office of the Executive Engr.,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.No. 1,
Ghataprabha, 4th September 1953.

To

Shri.N.M.Torgal,
Canal Inspector,
Gokak Canal.

Subject:- Complaints against Shri.Neelapa Torgal,Canal Inspector.

1) One Shri.N.M.Vani of Hunshyal has deposed before me on 21.2.1953 at Hunshyal that during the Kharif season of 1951-52, you have removed a 6" dia. pipe which formerly existed for irrigating Sy.Nos. 8,9, 244 of Hunshyal and replaced it by another 9" pipe after taking from him a bribe of Rs 90/-.

2) One Shri.Karabasu Baslinga Kaujalgi of Hunshyal has deposed on the same date and at the same place that on behalf of the Rayats of Hunshyal, he gave you one bag of maize on 22.10.1951 and that he has been giving this every year excluding the year 1952-53. He has further deposed that he spent Rs 21-6-0 from June 1951 to December 1951 for your meals and other sarbarai. He states that he did this because you managed to allow sufficient canal water to the Rayats' fields even when their rotation was over.

3) One Shri.Bhimrai Adivappa Sunkad has deposed on the same date and at the same place mentioned above that he gave you during kharif season 1952-53, 26 srs. of B.G.Nut and 4 seers of paddy and Rs 2/- as bribary because you were taking canal water to Vadarhatti by closing the door of Halsavadi during the period of Hunshyal rotation and that by giving you the bribe as stated above he managed to get water for his Sy.Nos. 179 and 180 of Hunshyal. He has further deposed that you removed the corn mentioned above with the help of Shri.Dadasaheb Mahamadasaheb Bavakhan who was then your khalashi.

You are hereby instructed to give your written explanation to the above charges through your Deputy Engineer very early.

Sd/-P.R.Joshi,
Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.No.1.

Through:-
The Deputy Engineer,"A" Sub-Dn.w/cs.

True copy.

Ex. 2

Statement of Neelappa Mahadevappa Torgal ,
Canal Inspector.

To

The Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.

Sir,

I, Neelappa Mahadevappa Torgal, Canal Inspector, beg to state as follows:

(1) The charge framed on me through misunderstanding by Shri.Nandeppa Mallappa Vani to the effect that he has given me an amount of Rs.90/- for fixing 9" pipe in place of 6" pipe, is quite false. The reason for replacing 6" pipe by 9" pipe is that all the land could not be irrigated in the rotation period. The facts that sufficient water could not be supplied to the fields through 6" pipe during rotation period, were being brought by me to the notice of the Sectional Officer from time to time. To this, he had informed that an idle pipe (say 9") may be fixed. Accordingly after a lapse of some days a 9" pipe was seen and I informed the Rayats to fix the same in place of 6" pipe. Accordingly they (Raytas) have themselves conveyed it and got it fixed. The purpose of its replacement is to give sufficient water to the fields in time during rotation period. The pipe should have been replaced with the prior permission of the superior officers. But I being ignorant of the rules, such a wrong replacement has happened for which I may kindly be excused.

(2) Shri.Karibasu Baslingappa Kowjalgi has alleged on me that he has given 1 bag of maize and has expended Rs.21-6-0 for Bandobast (Sarabarai), but this allegation is completely false.

There was....

There was practice of the visit of this man to our office and in company with the Rayats regarding water arrangement during rotation period whenever more or less quantity of water was required and so I believed him. When I happened to go at the time of distribution of water, tea etc. was offered to me in the company of some other men. Perhaps, I might have taken meals whenever I was forced to take at the time of meals am in my camp. At times I might have taken meals in his house also. But I do not know for what purpose, he has expended the above amount. The allegation that he has given me a bag of maize is completely false. No discussion took place amongst us regarding the supply of corn etc. and I do not know anything about this. We are not eating maize at home.

(3) Shri Bhimraya Adiveppa Sunkad has alleged on me before you that he has given 26 seers of ground nuts, 2 seers of paddy and Rs.2/-. The facts of the same are as follows:

At the time of my taking crop measurements of Hunshal, I had to stay in the village; during that period he used to call upon me often and often. I was also treating him with respect as he was an elderly man. A few days passed like this. But surprisingly there was a time when I had to draw a Panchanama against the same man for wastage of water. I had to draw this Panchanama as duty bound and in the interest of my service. He has alleged me being angry of this incident. I have not taken neither do I know anything of the articles and monery referred to by him. This is utterly false.

The root cause....

The rood cause for alleging against me unitedly is as follows:

There is a low lying waste land of 5 to 6 acres known as Turamandi to the North of Hunshyal village. I requested not to waste water through their lands to that waste land many a times.

I informed the rayats of and leaders that Panchanama will have to be drawn if water is wasted like this. To this they (leaders) replied that the rayats are immune to their words and that I should draw Panchanama as per rules. Inspite of my repeated instructions, they indulged in committing the same and I had to draw Panchanamas. On account of this they have alleged me. Dt. 8-9-1953.

Sd/ N.M.Torgal
5/9/53,
Canal Inspector.

True Copy.

2/10.

57-63 Ex. 3

Statement of Shri.Dadasaheb Mahammad Saheb Bavakhan of Hunshyal.

I shri.Dadasaheb Mahamadsaheb Bavakhan state that I kx know Shri.Bhimarai Adiveppa Sunkad. I work in the fields as a coolie and also do the work of a measuring Khalasi every year at the time of crop measurements.

I have worked under Shri.Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector as a measuring Khalasi. I have never brought from Shri.Bhimarai Adiveppa Sunkad ground-nuts, paddy etc., for Shri.Neelappa and given the same to Shri. Neelappa neither do I know anybody giving him grains etc.

Read and found correct.

Sd/ D.M.Bavakhan.

Statement made before us,

1) Sd/ Satyappa Appaya Kamat,
2) Sd/ Gopal Bhimajo Chikodi.

Before us,

Sd/P.R.Joshi, 6/9/53,
Sd/ A.V.Kulkarni, 6/9/53,
Sd/ P.R.N.Murthy, 6/9/53.

64-65 Ex.11-7

Statement of Shri.Balayya Basayya Hiremathad, Patakari.

I Shri.Balayya Basayya Hiremathad, Patkari, had informed yesterday Shri.Bhimappa Sunkad to be present before the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha on 6th September 1953 at the time of enquiry of Shri. Neelappa Torgal. But today when I went to his house to call upon him, he purposely avoided me and did not attend. From this it seems that he is not willing to be present at the time of enquiry.

Sd/ Balaya Basayya Hiremathad.

Before us,

Sd/ P.R.Joshi, 6/9/53,
A.V.Kulkarni, 6/9,
P.R.N.Murthy, 6/9/53

2/10

True copy, --------

6173 Ex. 5

Statement of Shri.Karabasu Basalingappa Kowjalgi.

I, Karabasu Balinga Kowjalgi have given á a statement in writing in the Hunshyal Chawadi on 21-2-1953 I have nothing to irrigate my own. I live in the Math I have no work there. I enquire in the irrigation matters as I am requested by the rayats to do so. I have given one bag of maize to Shri.Neelappa Torgal about 15 days before the Divali Holidays. I do not know which year it was. I have given that maize bag to him on behalf of the rayats. The maize bag is a contribution from the rayats. The contribution is made to avoid loss of crop for want of watering in time. I have a list to show who has contributed and how much. I cannot produce the same today as the Secretary of the Society has kept under lock and has gone to the Railway station. The said Neelappa Torgal had asked me before Bhima Ninga Raloji, Rajesab Lalasa Nadaf, Mallappa Nannari, in the room of Bhimaji Laxman Chikkodi to send him a bag of maize. I took one week to collect the corn. I had kept the corn collected in the house of the said Rajesab Pinjar. After my return from Sogal, Rajesab informed me that he had handed over the corn to the said Neelappa . I personally do not know of Shri.Neelappa taking and conveying the said corn. We collect some corn every ~~year~~ year for repairing the canal and deposit the corn with Rajesab Pinjar. When I asked account of the corn, Rajesab accounted the corn as one bag is spent on work and the second handed over to Neelappa. He informed me that the corn bag was given to Neelappa alone. I had already maintained the account of the collection of corn. I have accounted for the bag given to Neelappa on a separate paper. On the say of Rajesab (I have accounted in my paper on referring

to the .....

to the accounts kept by Rajesab). I do not know how the corn was conveyed by Shri.Neelappa. I do not know whether Neelappa consumes Jowar or maize in his house.

There is no hotel in our village. The said Neelappa used to camp in our village, When he came to arrange for the watering. Neelappa used to go to the house of somebody for meals if he was forced. Otherwise contributions were raised from rayats and arrangements formeals were madd. I used to collect money for the same and keep the same with Rajesab. The contribution was in the form of corn. The cost of articles purchased from the shops was paid from the amount realized by the sale of corn collected. Tea was arranged in the tea-shop itself, the charges of which were paid in the above said manner. The bill of the tea-shop was cleared as per accounts furnished by the shop-keeper. Sometimes the rayats arranged for the tea of their own accord. The amount of Rs.21-6-0 spent is for both the said Neelappa and the Patkaries. It is a usual custom with the rayats to spend as above as we desire that he should stay here and arrange for the watering and not because he asks for us to spend for him. We arrange of our own accord. As there is a complaint between the rayats and the canal inspector Neelappa a complaint has been filed this year. I do not know what is the conflict between them. I have presented the statement of expenditure incurred for sarabarai of Neelappa as I was asked to present the same by the rayats.

I do not know if contributions are raised this year or not. I have not taken any part since this Kharif season.

I have maintained....

I have maintained the account of expenditure from the expenditure statement kept by Rajesab. I do not know if the expenditure is for Neelappa alone or for others also.

D/ 6-9-1953. Sd/ Karabasu Basalinga Kowjalgi.

No questions by Shri.Neelappa.

The statements were taken xx in our presence.

Sd/ Gopal Bhimaji Chikkodi,

Satyapa Appayapa Kamat,

Before us,

Sd/ P.R.Joshi, Executive Engineer,

Sd/ A.V.Kulkarni, Deputy Engineer,

Sd/ P.R.Nagendramurti.

True Copy,

2/10.

47 Ex 6

Statement of Shri.Nanceppa Mallappa Vani of Hunshyal.

I, Nandeppa Mallappa Wani hereby state as follows :

On 21-2-1953, I have given one statement in writing. Formerly there was a 6" dia. pipe to supply water to Sy.Nos. 8, 9, 244 etc. But the water supply from that pipe was insufficient. So, we requested Neelappa to replace the 6" dia.pipe by 9" dia.pipe. On this Neelappa said that he would replace a bigger pipe provided he is paid Rs.100/-. He said so when myself, Laxman Pujari, Mahadeo Nannari, Gangappa Jagnur, Mallappa Nabapur, Erappa Somanhatti, Shidram Dabb and Babu Dabb were present. This was informed in the house of Shri.Mahadeo Nannari. He was camping there at that time. I myself collected the contribution from all. I do not possess any list of contribution. I have collected contribution at the rate of Rs.2/- per acre. I collected within 8 days. I had kept the sum with me. Deducting Rs.10/- for hire charges of a cart I myself have paid Rs.90/- to Neelappa in the presence of Laxman Pujari, Mahadeo Nannari and Gangappa Jagnur. We had decided to pay Rs.100/- to Neelappa. There was no objection from anybody for keeping aside Rs.10/- for cart-hire charges. The said sum of Rs.90/- was paid before the pipe was replaced. And I do not know the period after which ~~was~~ the pipe was replaced. I had paid Rs.4/-. Shidram Dabb and Babu Dabb had paid Rs.20/- each. I do not know how much the following persons contributed. (1) Mahadeo Nannari, (2) Laxman Pujari, (3) Gangappa Jagnur, (4) Mallappa Nabapur, (5) Erappa Somanhatti, (6) Satteppa Talwar, (7) Kenchappa Kaddi, (8) Dundappa Kamat, and (9) Shidappa....

Shiddappa Shekki. The said persons contributed at the rate of Rs.2/- per acre on the basis of the area each had bought. I do not know how much each contributed. I paid the said sum of Rs.90/- in the house of Shri.Mahadeo Nannari. I do not know why he (Neelappa) had come to our village at that time. Neelappa did not ask this amount of Rs.100/- as a bribe. He only demanded Rs.100/-. I do not know how much in currency xx notes and how much in coins comprised of the amount paid to him. I do not know the rayats arranged to pay the contribution. I do not know now who and how the 9" dia. pipe was brought. I had been away after paying Rs.10/- requesting the above three persons to make arrangements to bring the pipe. But I do not know who paid the cart man the hire charges for bringing pipe. Two years have lapsed since the replacement of the pipe. We did not ask for a receipt on payment of Rs.90/-. I cannot tell as to why we kept quiet for 1 year and 6 months and that why we complained only 6 months back. I do not know why all the rayats complained in writing against Neelappa. He had not demanded the above amount as bribe. Still I did not ask for a receipt.

D/6-9-1953.

Sd/ Nandeppa Mallappa Wani,

Before us:

Sd/ Gopal Bimappa Chikodi,

Sd/ Satteppa Appayya Kamat.

Before us,

Sd/ P.R.Joshi,
Sd/ A.V.Kulkarni,
Sd/ P.R.N.Murti,

True Copy.

69. Ex. 7

Statement of Shri.Gangappa Ramalingappa Jagnur.

I, Gangappa Ramalingappa Jagnur, state that -

I have got two acres of land under Desai pipe. I contributed Rs.4/- for replacing the 6" dia. pipe by a 9" dia. one. I paid the contribution to Nandeppa Wani. Nandeppa informed me that the total contribution was Rs. 90/- for the replacement of the said pipe. I was not present when the amount was paid to Neelappa and I do not know how much amount was paid to Neelappa. But Nandeppa was saying that Rs.90/- were paid to Neelappa . I do not know when and where the amount was paid. I do not know whether I signed the complaint. My thumb impression xxx might have been taken without informing me anything. I have no complaint against the said Neelappa Torgal.

Dt. 6-9-1953.

L.H.T.of Gangappa Ramalingappa
Jagnur

Dastur: Gopal Bhimappa Chikodi,

In our presence:

Sd/ G.B.Chikodi,
S.A.Kamat,

Before us,

Sd/ M.R.Joshi,
Sd/ A.V.Kulkarni,
Sd/ P.R.H.Murti,

True Copy,

2/10.

74 Ex. 8

Statement of Shri.Mahadeo Bhangarappa Nannari.

I own 5 acres of land under Desai Pipe. I do not know as to who has replaced 6" dia.pipe by 9" dia. one. I had been to convey the above said 9" pipes in a cart belonging to another man. Shri. Neelappa Torgal showed me from where the 9" pipes was to be brought. We had taken the cart of Shri. Shidram Shivalinga Dabb to bring the pipe. Nandeppa Mallappa Wani paid Rs.5/- on account of cart hire to the above said cartman in my presence. The said amount of hire was with Nandeppa Mallappa. Nandeppa paid to Neelappa Rs.90/- (Ninety) in my house. Out of which I had paid Rs.10/- (Ten) as contribution. No receipt was asked ~~for~~ for having paid the said amount. We paid Rs.Ninety as cost of the pipe. We forgot to ask for the receipt. He was putting up in my house for about fifteen days for crop measurement work. When we had previously asked to replace the pipe ~~when~~ he was in my house. We paid the above Ninety rupees after the pipe was replaced. The pipe was replaced one month after the decision. It was about 9.00 A.M. when we paid ninety rupees. Nandeppa Wani, Laxman Pujari, Gangappa Jagnur, Shidram Dabb were present at that time. All the amount of Rupees Ninety was in currency notes. All the above 5 persons collected the said amount. Nandeppa Mallappa Wani did not count the said amount of Rs. Ninety while paying. But I say that Rupees Ninety were paid as it was agreed upon. I do not know why there has been a complaint regarding payment of Ninety Rupees to Neelappa. Date : 6-9-1953.

Sd/ Mahadev Bangarappa,
Nannari, 6/9/53.

Before us,

1) Sd/ P.R.Joshi, 6/9
2) Sd/ A.V.Kulkarni, 6/9.
3) Sd/ P.R.N.Murti, 6/9.

True Copy,

---

73 Ex. - 9.

Statement of Shri.Sidram Shivalinga.

I, Shidram Shivalinga Dabba have to state :

I have 10 acres of land under irrigation under Desai pipe. Originally the said pipe was of 6". We were not getting full water supply. We requested Shri. Neelappa Torgal to fix bigger pipe after removing the original one. At that time Shris. Gangappa Jagnur, Mahadevappa Nannari, Laxman Pujari, Nandeppa Wani were present. We requested him in Shri. Mahadevappa Nannari's house. He did not speak to us anything about money etc. for putting a new pipe. When we asked him he simply said that he will replace it. But Nandeppa told me that one hundred ~~xxx~~ rupees are required to be collected for fixing new pipe. Hence I paid Rs.20/- to Nandeppa for the purpose. But I do not know after how many days it was fixed. To convey the said 9" pipe I used my cart. While conveying Shris.Mahadevappa Nannari, Nandeppa Wani, Gangappa Jagnur and Babu Siddappa Dabb were present with the cart. Nandeppa paid me Rs.5/- as cart hire . We paid the amount to Nandeppa. But I don't know when he paid the amount to Neelappa. But Nandeppa informed that he paid Rs.90/- to Neelappa". We had given complaint against Neelappa. It was because that Neelappa had made Panchanamas for waste of water. We were fined for that and hence we complained. I was also fined along with others. Hence application was made and it is a true fact. Date : 6-9-1953.

L.H.T. of Shidram Shivaling Dabb.

Dastur: Gopal Bhimaji Chikodi,

Wittness: Gopal Bhimaji Chikodi,
Satteyappa Appayya Kamat,

Before us,

Sd/ P.R.Joshi, 6/9/53,
A.V.Kulkarni,
P.R.Nagendramurthy,

Ex. 10

Statement of Shri.Satteppa Appaya Kamat, Sarpanch, Water Panchayat Committee.

I Satteppa Appaya Kamat, Sarpanch Water Panchayat Committee beg to state as follows:

I did not know anything about the collection of contribution regarding the fixing of the pipe and the same given to Shri.Neelappa Torgal for fixing the pipe, till the statement was given before the Mamlatdar on 21-2-1953. I was a Sarpanch at that time. At that time I have not received any complaint against Shri.Neelappa Torgal I have no doubt about his honesty uptil now.

Date 6-9-1953.

Sd/ Satteppa Appayya Kamat.

Before us,

Sd/ P.M.Joshi, 6/9/53, Sd/ P.R.N.Murty, Sd/A.V. Kulkarni,6/9

77.61 Ex. 11

Statement of Shri.Gopal Bhimaji Chikodi of Hinshal Taluka Gokak.

I, Gopal Bhimaji Chikodi of Hunshyal, Taluka Gokak, beg to state as under :

I am a member of the Water Panchyat Committee and I do not know about the contribution and collection for the said pipe. I do not know also that it is given to Shri.Neelappa Torgal. The behaviour of Shri.Neelappa Torgal is satisfactory uptil now and I have received no complaint from any of the Rayats uptil now. On the whole he was leading an honest life in our village.

Date : 6-9-1953.

Sd/ Gopal Bhimaji Chikodi,

Before us,

Sd/ P.R.Joshi, 6/9, Sd/A.V.Kulkarni, 6/9,
P.R.Nagendra murty,

---

79 Ex. 12

Statement of Shri.K.R.Hirekerur,
Suboverseer,Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.

I took over charge of Gokak canal on 11.1.1949, and continued for about 2 years and seven months. I came to know of the necessity of replacing the existing 6" ø pipe by one of higher diameter in Oct.1949. As we had then started the rotation system and dating of passes the necessity was more keenly felt and this was brought to my notice by Shri.Balayya Basayya Patkari. I tried to continue with same old pipe to make sure of the necessity of replacement. During 1950 Rabi there were again complaints brought to my notice by the patkari.Shri.Abdulla Sadulla, Shri.N.M.Torgal,Canal Inspector and some of the irrigators under that pipe. The irrigators contacted me with this complaint whenever I visited the area. So I told the inspector and the irrigators that it is necessary to fix a 9" ø pipe and we must search for one on the canal which is no longer in use. In this condition Rabi 1950-51 was over. Kharif of 1951 was rather dry, rains being prolonged for a long time, the necessity of the replacement was felt all the more So I told the Inspector that 9" ø pipe which was lying idle in mile No.2/4 on Vadarhatti Branch (known as Khadir pipe)may be taken and put in place of 6" ø referred to above. I can't exactly now say whether I had asked him to seek for a written order from higher authorities to this effect. This happened sometime in July 1 51. On 11.8.1951 I went on earned leave for 38 days and after return from leave ~~I did~~ I did not take over the charge of canal section. So, I did not know what happened in the matter further while I was incharge I did not get any information about the pipe having replaced.

The Khadir pipe (9" ø pipe) was idle as is reported, since 1939 because discharging capacity was too inadequate compared to the area and the irrigat~~ors~~ took water by a cut in the canal side about 50 ft. up-stream of the pipe. The pipe remained in the bank almost unnoticed. I had the idea that this pipe was included in the masonry registers (A&B) and I was also aware that the corrections would be necessary in the register with due permission from the higher authorities. Had I continued in charge I would have got these things done. This was however was not brought to the notice of my successor as I handed over charge hurriedly within four hours and when I returned from leave the thing was out of mind. As regards my statement of 5-3-53 that I had casually spoken to the Canal Inspector that the pipe could be replaced by 9" ø pipe after obtaining necessary permission from the competent authority. I clarify that it was a casual talk and anybody knowing rules will naturally say like that. I cannot exactly quote the wordings which were spoken two year back.

During my period of 2 years and 3 months during which Shri.N.M.Torgal served under me as Canal Inspector, I heard no complaint regarding his misbehaviour with irrigators. He was quite straightforward, firm and reasonably amicable with irrigators.

Before us.

(Sd.). P.R.Joshi, 7/9/53.
A.V.Kulkarni,7/9/53.
P.R.N.Murthy,7/9/53.

Sd/-.K.R.Hirekarur,S.O.
7.9.1953.

Ex. 13

To

The Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha L.B.C.Dn.

I Neelappa Mahadevappa Torgal, Canal Inspector beg to submit my supplementary statement. Yesterday I was present at the time of my enquiry and heard the statements given by some irrigators against me. With regard to the same I beg to state that the irrigators of the village have united against me with a view to trouble me and have therefore deposed that they paid Rs.90/- for the new Desai pipe. This is because they are angry with me as I had levied heavy fines on them by drawing Panchanamas for wastage of water and bigar pali etc. Looking to the contradictory statements given by them the fact that they have given me any money is utterly false. The facts stated before you were done after uniting themselves against me purposely with a view to trouble me and they are

all....

all false.

Sd/ N.M.Torgal, 7/9/53.

Before us,

Sd/ P.R.Joshi, 7/9,

Sd/ A.V.Kulkarni, 7/9,

Sd/ J.R.V.Murthy, 7/9.

83 Ex. 14.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Office of the ~~Exec~~ Deputy Engr.,
"A" Sub-Division,
Ghataprabha, 11th September 1953.

To

The Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dr.No.1,
Ghataprabha.

Subject:-Complaint against Shri.Neelapa Torgal,
Canal Inspector.

Reference:-Your letter No.G.14(Confidential) dated the 8th April 1953 and No.G.14/ /78 (Confidential) dt.the 26th May 1953.

From the masonry register, it is seen that there exists one 6" diameter pipe in Mile No.0/6, of the Hunshyal distributary. The discharge from this pipe was, it is learnt, short of the actual require-ment and there was another pipe as per the Masonry register in mile No.2/4 of Waddarhatti Branch. It is also learnt that this pipe was lying buried inside bank but was not being used as an outlet as discharge through this also was short and the irrigators had opened the side bank to draw water directly for irrigation purposes. The pipe was removed and replaced at the place of 6" dia. pipe in mile No.0/6 of Hunshyal distributary. However, as seen from the Masonry register none of the above remarks appear in the Register.

2. No expenditure has been incurred by this Department for the above transaction. However, the pipe was removed and fixed through the agency of the irrigators under this outlet. The 6" dia.pipe which was removed, has been fixed in mile No.0/6 of Basaligundi distributary as per your letter No.Gh.L.B/ 5803 dated the 7th May 1953.

3. In view of the above it will be seen the 6" dia. pipe was not borne on any of the returns of stores or materials of the Sub-Division.

4. As regards para 4 of the Superintending Engineer,K.I.C.'s letter, the matter was investigated personally by you.

Sd/- A.V.Kulkarni,
Deputy Engineer,
"A" Sub-Division.

CONFIDENTIAL.

No.G-14. Office of the Executive Engr.,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Divn.No.1,
Ghataprabha, 3rd Octr. 1953.

To

The Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar.

Subject:- Complaint against Shri.Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference:- Correspondence ending with your Confidential letter No. G-14 dt. the 22nd May 1953.

PP 21-22

As desired in para 3 of your endorsement below your letter No.G.14.dated the 27th March 1953, a thorough investigation and proper inquiry was made by charge sheeting Shri.Neelappa Mahadevappa Torgal,Canal Inspector in respect of all the allegations for which, if not direct, at least some circumstantial evidence was likely to be found. The charge sheet served on him and his replies thereto are enclosed in original for your perusal. It will be seen that he has denied all the allegations made against him. Further investigations were, therefore, made by holding a regular inquiry at Hunshyal on 6-9-1953 at which myself, Deputy Engineer, "A" Sub-Division, Personal Assistant to the Executive Engineer,Ghataprabha, Shri.S.A.Kamat,Sarpanch,Water Panchayat Committee of Hunshyal and Shri.G.B.Chikodi, a member of this committee were present. The villagers, though called upon several times before this seemed reluctant to come forth to give statements. Once it was learnt that some of them had gone to Pandharpur for fair, on another day they were not available owing to some local festival and so on. Two special persons were therefore sent to Hunshyal, one on 5th evening and the other on 6th morning to inform the interested persons that inquiry was going to be held on 6th afternoon and to keep themselves present in the village at that village.

④ PP. 5-7
PP 81-82

2/- The first allegation investigated was in respect of the replacement of the old 6" pipe on Halsavadi Distributary by one of 9" dia. It appears that the replacement was not done all of a sudden. The matter was under discussion for about two years between the irrigators, patkaries, the Canal Inspector and the Sectional Officer as will be seen from the statements of the latter two (originals with copies of translation in English enclosed). On account of the rotation system and predating of passes which were then rigorously introduced, the pressure on the 6" pipe was much increased and all the area under the pipe could not be fully irrigated within the limited period during which the rest of the area under the distributary was irrigated and the distributary had to be kept running for longer period merely to irrigate the balance area under the pipe. This affected the whole rotation. This defect was already brought to the notice of the Sectional Officer by the irrigators and two patkaries. Shri.Hirekerur, the then Sectional Officer seems to have orally ordered the replacement of the

P.T.O.

pipe and to have pointed out an idle pipe from the Vadarhatti Branch which could be taken away for replacement. Both the 9" pipe on the Vadarhatti Branch and the 6" pipe on Halsavadi (Hunshyal Distributary) were borne on the masonry registers, but either through ignorance or slackness, permission of higher authorities was not taken for replacement and corrections were not made in the masonry registers. This was definitely a lapse on the part of the Canal Inspector, but there is no evidence to prove that he had any bad motive of taking illegal gratification in the matter of replacement, which was being long discussed and was sufficiently publicized.

As regards the allegation of having paid to the Canal Inspector a sum of Rs 90/- as illegal gratification, the statements given by the several persons concerned show that it is an unbeliveable story. The then Sarpanch Shri.Kamat and the panch Shri.Chikodi did not know anything as regards collection of contribution and payment of the bribe to Shri.Neelappa although they resided in the same village and were sufficiently influencial and in close contact with irrigators. Shri.N.M.Wani who has deposed that he paid the sum does not know the time of the day when the amount was paid nor does he know whether he paid it in cash or notes. He says the amount was paid before the pipe was replaced and a sum of Rs 10/- was handed over to his colleagues Shri.Mahadev Nannari, Shri.Gangappa Jagnur and others for paying the hire charges of the cart employed for bringing pipe. He says that he was not present at the time of conveying the 9" pipe and is not aware who paid the hire charges of the cart. He said that he and others among whom Shri.Sidram Dabb was one requested Shri.Neelappa to replace the pipe by one of larger diameter and at that time Neelappa demanded Rs 100/-. When Shri.Mahadev Nannari was asked to give a statement he stated that Rs 10/- were not paid by Shri.Wani to him and others to defray the hire charges. Shri.Wani has himself paid the hire charges of Rs 5/- for conveying the 9" pipe in Shidram Dabb's cart. He says that the amount of Rs 90/- was paid after the pipe was laid and that Shri.Shidram Shivalinga Dabb was also present at the time of payment of Rs 90/- to Shri.Neelappa. Shri.Shidram Dabb has stated that he does not know anything about payment of money to Shri.Neelappa Torgal. He also states that Shri.N.M.Wani was present at the time of conveying the pipe and has himself paid Rs 5/- as hire charges. Shri.Shidram Dabb denies the statement made by Shri.Wani that Shri.Neelappa demanded Rs 100/- for replacing the pipe when the request was first made to him. He makes no secret of the fact that the complaint was raised against Shri.Neelappa Torgal because he held panchanamas and he among others had to pay the penalty.

Shri.Gangappa Jagnur who was stated by Shri.Wani and Shri. Mahadev Nennari to be present at the time of payment of Rs 90/- to Shri.Neelappa denies all knowledge about actual payment and states that he was informed by Shri.Wani that Rs 90/- were paid.

This complaint first came up on 21-2-1953 i.e. about 1½ years after the pipe was replaced. There is every reason to doubt that owing to the panchanamas held by Shri.Neelappa, this allegation of his having accepted the bribe of Rs 90/- was made after such a long time. The various statements are so full of contradictions that the story cannot be believed as true.

Page 3 ----

In reply to your specific querries made in para 2 of your endorsement below your letter No.G.14 of 27.3.53 I have to state that both the 9" and 6" pipes are borne on the masonry register of the Gokak Canal. The replacement was done entirely at the cost of irrigators and therefore no expenditure appears in the Govt.accounts. The 6" pipe which became surplus after replacement has been used elsewhere on the Basligundi Distributary. No corrections have yet been effected in the masonry register.

As regards the second allegation that one Shri. Karbasu Basalingappa gave Shri.Neelappa Torgal,Canal Inspector one bag of maize and spent Rs 21/6/- on his sarbarai , it may be stated that Shri.Karbasu's statement is based on the information supplied to him by one Rajesaheb Pinjar and not on his personal information. He says that one bag of maize was given to Neelappa because Rajesaheb says so. Also he says that Rs 21/6/- were spent on Sarbarai because Rajesaheb says so. This money was realized according to him by selling corn raised by contribution or was directly paid to the hotel keeper on his presenting bills without the knowledge of Shri.Neelappa. He admits that Neelappa did not request for Sarbarai and that the amount spent was not only for Neelappa but for patkaries and others. He also admits that this complaint was made because there was quarrel that year between Neelappa and the irrigators.

Rajesaheb Pinjar was called upon to give a statement but he had gone out of the village and was not available.

No evidence of any sort is thus forthcoming to prove this allegation.

As regards the third allegation that one Shri. Bhimray Adivappa Sunkad has given to Neelappa 26 seers of ground nut, 4 seers of paddy and Rs two, the khalashi Shri.Dadasaheb Mahamad Saheb Bavakhan who was alleged to have conveyed the corn to Shri.Neelappa was questioned. He flatly told that he has not conveyed any corn to Shri. Neelappa. Shri.Sunkad was in the village and sent for to give a further statement but in spite of repeated invitations he did not care to attend. A statement of the patkari Shri.Balayya Basayya is enclosed in this connection.

From the details furnished above, it will be seen that none of the allegations which were expected to give some clue to reveal the fact by circmstantial evidence can be proved. Shri.Neelappa Torgal had some trouble with the irrigators of Hunshyal due to his holding panchanamas for wastage of water. He was in duty bound to do this. Besides on account of the increased demand for irrigation and the limited supply of water available and besides owing to the system of regular rotations and predating of passes which is rigorously insisted during the last few years he had no alternative but to take out panchanamas which have resulted into this complaint from the irrigators.. of Hunshyal. The undersigned has made inquiries in adjacent villages and with prominent irrigators but there is no complaint or dissatisfaction about the behaviour of Shri.Neelappa. Even the then Sarpanch Shri. Kamat and the Panch Shri.Chikodi who have given written statements have no complaint against him or have any doubt about his character. The then overseer Shri. Hirekerur who was in charge of the Gokak Canal for about

P.T.O.

2½ years just when the new system of rotations was being introduced and under whom Shri.Neelappa Torgal worked for over two years states that his character and behaviour with irrigators was firm and satisfactory. Under the circumstances, I do not think that Shri.Neelappa is guilty of any of the charges alleged against him by the irrigators of Hunshyal.

There has been a lapse on the part of Shri.Neelappa Torgal in having removed the 9" pipe from the canal and substituted it for the old 6" pipe on Halsavadi without written permission from higher authorities. Inquiries however show that the matter was under discussion between the overseer Shri.Hirekerur, Patkaries and Inspector for a long time and he had kept the overseer informed about it. The overseer Shri.Hirekerur cannot now definitely say whether he had instructed the inspector to take written orders. Probably he might have told him but the matter was lost sight of because just then Shri.Hirekerur went on leave and did not mention this fact to his successor who was not aware of anything regarding the replacement of the pipe. The lapse on the part of Shri.Torgal was due to ignorance of rules and does not appear to be due to mischievous intentions. I, therefore, recommend that he should be severely warned for the lapse and his two increments should be stopped.

Executive Engineer,
Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.No.1.

Accompaniments:-

1 Charge sheet against the Canal Inspector.
1 Explanation of the Canal Inspector Shri.N.M. Torgal with its English Translation.
11 Statements of Shri.
1)D.M.Bavakhan,
2)Balayya Basayya,Patkari,
3)K.B.Kaujalgi,
4)N.M.Wani,
5)G.R.Jagnur,
6)M.B.Nannari,
7)S.S.Dabba,
8)S.A.Kamat,
9)G.B.Chikodi,
10)K.R.Hirekerur,
11)N.M.Torgal

English translations of all the above statements except that of Shri. Hirekerur which is already in English.

Copy of S.D.O.'s letter No.68 of 11.9.1953.

CONFIDENTIAL.

No. G-14

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar, 12th Apr.1954.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay-1.

Subject:- Allegations against Shri. Neelappa Torgal, Canal Inspector.

Reference: Government letter No. NS.92/36932-F, dated the 9th May 1953 and subsequent expeditors and in continuation of this office endorsement No.G-14, dated the 27th March 1953.

As reported in para 1 of this office No.G-14, dated the 20th May 1953, a thorough investigation was made by the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division No.I after issuing a charge sheet against the Canal Inspector Shri. Neelappa Torgal. The Executive Engineer's detailed report dealing with the allegations levelled against the Canal Inspector, together with the copies of the case papers including the depositions and the statements recorded of several persons involved in the case during enquiry, are enclosed herewith for favour of perusal.

The allegations regarding accepting Rs.90/- by the Canal Inspector by way of illegal gratification has been thoroughly investigated by the Executive Engineer. Briefly the story is as follows:-

At the request of some of the irrigators the Canal Inspector Shri. Torgal replaced the old six-inch diameter pipe by a 9-inch diameter pipe, without any written orders from his superiors. After a lapse of nearly six months of the replacement, the irrigators have charged the Canal Inspector Shri. Torgal that he took an amount of Rs. 90/- in the form of illegal gratification from them for the replacement of the pipe. The Executive Engineer reports (vide his report on page 2 at slip 'B') that sufficient evidence to prove the charge of corruption of Rs.90/- is not forthcoming; on the other hand evidences are contradictory. The lapse on the part of the Canal Inspector is that he failed to obtain orders from his superiors prior to the replacement of the 6-inch diameter pipe by a 9-inch diameter one.

2. It is also alleged that a sum of Rs. 21-6-0 has been spent by some of the parties concerned over the hospitality of the Canal Inspector that he accepted one bag Maize during the course of the latter's visit to the village. This fact is not established and proved during the course of enquiry by the Executive Engineer.

3. The charge that the Canal Inspector Shri.Torgal has accepted grains of different varieties in kind, in small quantities, is also levelled against the Canal Inspector. The person who did give these small quantity of grains, as alleged, was summoned to give his evidence before the Executive Engineer which he failed to comply, but on the contrary evaded. Thus

the...

the third charge has also remained unproved.

Thus, none of the charges levelled against the Canal Inspector Shri. Torgal have been proved during enquiry. It appears that the charges levelled against the Canal Inspector are merely out of vengeance for holding panchanamas for unauthorised irrigation.

However, the Canal Inspector has failed in his duties by replacing the pipe without the orders of the higher officers, thereby showing negligence in his duties for which the Executive Engineer recommends that he (the Canal Inspector) should be severely warned for the negligence on his part and his two increments should be stopped.

On carefully studying the case papers and the facts, I find that the proposals made by the Executive Engineer are quite in order and adequately justified. Necessary orders imposing the disciplinary actions on the subordinates concerned are being issued by me.

pp 85-88

The final enquiry report in this case was received from the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division No.I, Ghataprabha, in the first week of October 1953. But on studying the papers it was found that the whole case was not so simple and involved complicated issues requiring my personal scrutiny on certain points. The papers were mixed up with certain other cases and were not readily traceable which caused some delay and thereafter I could not devote my personal attention earlier due to other urgent technical work as also myself being on leave for three weeks in December 1953 and January 1954. The delay, which was unavoidable in the circumstances, is regretted.

p 52

This has also reference to the Confidential D.O. No. NS 93/114946 F, dated the 5th April 1954 from the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Bombay.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C.

12-4.

NS 92

Serial No. 49743

NS-92/49743

P. W. D.

F.Br.

These papers deal with the allegations made pp1-6 8-9-13 by certain irrigators of Hunshyal against Shri Neelappa M. Torgal, Canal Inspector in the Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn.No.1. It has been alleged that Shri Torgal was corrupt and demanded bribes and good 'bandobust' if they wanted their fields to be watered properly. Shri Torgal, it has been stated, used to harass those irrigators who did not meet his demands. Quoting a specific instance, the applicants have ~~pointed out~~ stated (x) that Shri Torgal unauthorisedly replaced a pipe of 6" diameter by another of 9" dia. after accepting a bribe of Rs.90/-. Please see in this con. the O.N. pp23-24 dated the 22.4.53 which states the case briefly.

(x) vide para 4 on p. 21 and para 6 on pp 18 19

2. A regular enquiry was held against Shri Neelappa Torgal by the Ex.Engr., Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn. No.1 and it was charged p 53 against the Canal Inspector-

(i) that during the Kharif season of 1951-52, he unauthorisedly replaced a pipe of 6" dia. by another of 9" dia. after accepting a bribe of Rs.90/- from the irrigators;

(ii) that he accepted a bag of maize on the 22.10.51 from a certain irrigator and that the irrigator spent Rs.21-6-0 from June 1951 to Decr. 1951, for his meals. In consideration, the irrigator managed to get additional discharges of water in his fields;

(iii) that during the Kharif season of 1952-53, he accepted as bribe from another irrigator, 26 seers of ground nuts, 4 srs. of paddy and Rs.2/- and permitted unauthorised discharges of water in his fields.

As explained by the S.E.,K.I.C. in his letter under pp 89-90 consideration, the charges mentioned at (ii) and (iii) above could not be established. As regards charge (i) the evidences of the irrigators concerned are contradictory and do not lead to a definite conclusion. As

stated.....

As stated by the S.E. the charges levelled against the Canal Inspector may be out of vengence for holding Panchanamas against certain irrigators for unauthorised irrigation. In fact, one of the irrigators, Shri Sidram Shivalinga says so in his statement dated the 6.9.53. Shri Torgal has been held responsible for the ~~unauthorised~~ replacement of the irrigation pipes without prior permission of the higher authorities. The Ex.Engr.,Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Dn. No.1 is of the opinion that this lapse on the part of the Canal Inspector was due to his ignorance of rules and does not seem to be due to mischievous intentions for the matter ~~xx~~ reg: replacement of the pipe in question was under discussion for some time. The S.E. has agreed with the E.E's proposal that Shri Torgal should be severely warned for the negligence on his part in this case and that his two increments should be stopped. The S.E. being competent to take action in the matter, necy. orders imposing disciplinary action against the subordinates concerned in the matter are being issued by him.

3. We have not received any reminder from the applicants who represented to the Minister for Agre. on the 16.1.53. The Canal Inspector was transferred from his old charge on account of the growing strained relations between him and certain irrigators. No reply to the applicants ~~seems~~ is perhaps called for. The action taken by the S.E. in this matter may be considered sufficient. If approved, these pps. may be recordéd.

VPA.
22.4.54.

~~23~~ 24 NS-92/49743

P.W.D.

FBr.

Resubmitted with a draft for apprl. PGJ

HOJ 10/5/94

10/5/94

AS(F).

NS-92/49743

P.W.D.,
B.C. (B'day No.1),

No. NS-92/49743F.

To

Shri Satyappa Govindappa Hugar,
Hunsyal, Paragana Gokak, Dist. — Belgaum

Subject:— Allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal Canal Inspector.

Sir,

With refce. to your letter dtd 19-1-53 addressed to the Min. for Agre. on the subject mentioned above I am directed to state that necy. departmental action has been taken against Shri Neelappa Torgal for the unauthorised replacement of a pipe of 6" diameter by another of 9" dia., done by him during the kharif season of 1951-52. The other allegations that he used to demand bribes from the irrigators and used to harass those irrigators who did not offer him bribes, have not been proved.

Yours ffly.,

DA- Nil.

A.S. to Govt.

Confdl.
Copy forwarded to —
the S.E., K.I.C., with reference to his letter No. G-14,8 dtd 12-4-54,
the E.E., G.L. B.C. Dn. No.1,

10/5/54. for info

10:

97

No.NS 92/49743 F.

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle, (Bombay No.1
12th May 1954.

From

The Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

Shri Satyappa Govindappa Hugar,
Hunsyal, Paragana Gokak,
District Belgaum.

Subject:- Allegations against Shri Neelappa Torgal,
Canal Inspector.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 16th January 1953, addressed to the Minister for Agriculture on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to state that necessary departmental action has been taken against Shri Neelappa Torgal for the unauthorised replacement of a pipe of 6" diameter by another of 9" diameter, done by him during the Kharif season of 1951-52. The other allegations that he used to demand bribes from the irrigators and used to harass those irrigators who did not offer him bribes, have not been proved.

Yours faithfully,

Assistant Secretary to the Government of
Bombay, Public Works Department.

D.A.-Nil

Confidential

Copy forwarded to:-

the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle, with reference to his letter No.G-14, dated the 12th April 1954,

the Executive Engineer, Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal Division No.1.

for information.

Assistant
13/5/54

4.
KR.
2.5.54.

True copy
Patankar
17-3-52

1 / 103
99

R.B. KHARADE
OVERSEER.
C/O V.D. BONSALEY-B. Com.
65 SHUKRAWAR PETH.
--------KARAD----------

Dear Shriyut Patankar,

I am rather worried under your constant reminders I have already decided to refund the whole amount which had been offered to me as scholarship. But you know my financial position and as such, it will help me much if it can be arranged by instalments.

Regarding my service over there, I am at sea to do so as my parent's oldage and brothers sickness I am only the member to look after the homely affairs.

So I request you to accept the amount by instalments and not to force me to discontinue the present service and depart away from my native place and kith and kins.

2. If the above reasoning does not suit your purpose, and if it is required to nip it in the bud, under compulsion, am willing to serve in the required Govrt. provided I am assured and posted as an overseer in the scale of 116 plus 8 - 140 E.B. 10-270 which I am drawing here.

Lastly but not leastly, it is your greatness to decide my furture. Hoping to have a line by return and oblige.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd. R.B. Kharade. /21-2-52

Note:- This may treated very warmly, otherwise I shall be put into a very serious circumstances here Highere authorities letter being followed.

Yours sincerely,

Sd. R.B. Kharade

105 + 01

C/O: V.D.Bhonsle, B.Com.,
65, Shukrawar,
Karhad.

To,

N.R.Patankar,
Narain Building,
Chana Kothar, Lashkar.

Sir,

On the verge of depriving ~~my~~ of services please communicate the result of my prior letter at an your earliest convenience. If my service cancelled here a detail posting there may kindly have to be communicated. Also please treat the case warmly. Instalment can be granted or not necessary. Awaiting for your kind reply as shifting to other place.

Yours Sincerely,
Sd. R.B.Kharade.

.....

TRUE COPY.

17-3-52

5 107 No. 235
17-3-52

From:

Lt. Narayanrao Patankar,
Kampoo Brigade,
Lashkar, Gvalior (M.P.)

To:

The Chief Engineet,
P.W.D. & Irrigation Department,
Bombay Province.

Sir,

The undersigned wants to bring the following facts in your honour's notice: -

(1) That one Mr. R.B.Kharade is employed as overseer at Karad under the Executive Engineer, P.W.D. & Irrigation Department, Kolhapur.

(2) That the said Mr. Kharade was an employee of P.W.D. Gwalior State. He was sent to Baroda by the State on State Scholarship to study there for overseer's course. Mr. Kharade was asked to executive a bond undertaking that he will be serving the State for 5 years and was also asked to furnish a surity in that respect. The undersigned stood as a surity for him.

(3) After completion of the course at Baroda Mr. Kharade was appointed sub-overseer at Shivpuri (Gwalior State). But he served the State only for about 4 or 5 months and then ran away without the permission of the State. The Gwalior State, having merged into Madhya Bharat The Govt. of Madhya Bharat now is proceeding to realize the amount of scholarship etc. amounting to about Rs.4000/- from me and also is after calling in Mr. Kharade under the Govt. service.

(4) Mr. Kharade had been baffling the attempts of the Madhya Bharat Govt. and the undersigned theretobefore and had managed to escape the responsibilities of his bond upto this time.

(5) With great difficulties, the undersigned could trace the fact of his being employed in P.W.D. & Irrigation Department, Bombay Govt. The undersigned has written some 5 or 6 letters to the Executive Engineer Kolhapur and Deputy Executive Engineer Kolhapur, Karad, requesting them to discharge Mr. Kharade from service and send him through police over to Gwalior to fulfil the undertaking he gave to the Govt. here. But it seems no action has been taken upto this time nor any reply sent to the Madhya Bharat Govt. or the undersigned.

(6) Mr. Kharade has written to me to the effect that he is about to leave the service of your honour's department and is designing to go away somewhere else. Copy of his letter is attached herewith for your honour's information. If he is successful in escaping from the service of your honour's department, it will be a great mishap. Persons like him who cheat Governments in this fashion should not and will not be allowed to evade the consequences of the breach of bond thus.

I hope your honour will take action against Mr. R.B. Kharade overseer, discharge him and send him over to Gwalior through police to fulfil the undertaking he gave to the Govt. here.

Hoping that an early action will be taken on this application. Stamps worth annas 2/- enclosed for reply.

Enclosures 2.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Yours most obediently

(Lt. Narayanrao Patankar)

F

NS 92/26972.

P.W.D.

F. Bor.

Acknowledged under No. NS.92/26972 F, dated the 26th March 1952.

Issued 26/3/52

26/3/52.

S/1-1-2013

(5) F.9/3 Vol.

P. W. D.
File No. NS 92
No. 26972

F



NS 92/26972.

P.W.D.

F. Br.

Lt. Narayanrao Patankar has complained that Shri R. B. Kharade, Overseer, who is alleged to be working in the Kolhapur Dn. has committed a breach of agreement entered into with the Madhya Bharat Govt. Shri Kharade's name does not appear in the seniority list of Overseers corrected upto 30-6-48. Remarks from the S.E., S.C., may however be called in the matter.

The

P.11 ~~10~~?

The draft put up may issue, if approved.

M
20/3/52.

10
27.3.52 D.S

NS 92/26972

1134

pp 5-7

Below Complaint, dated the 17-3-52, and its accomps pp 1-3 from Lt. Narayanrao Patankar, reg: breach of agreement entered into by Shri R. B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Confidential.
No. NS 92/26972 F.

P.W.D.,
B.C. (Bbay No. 1),
28th March 1952.

pp 1-7

Forwarded to the S.B., S.C., for favour of very early remarks.

By order of the G: of B.,
Astt. Secy. to the Govt. of Bbay,
P.W.D.

Issued 28/3/52

June 28/3
2873

26/3/52

F. A.
25.2

Ir. R.
25/4.

F. Br.

P.W.D.

Re S.E., S.C., expedited under No. S.92/26972 F. dt. the 5th May 1952

Issued
5/5/52

5/5/52.

To

The Superintending Engineer,S.C.Belgaum.

Subject :- Shri.R.B.Kharade Workcharged Overseer.

Reference :- Your Endt.No.Con.G-40,dated 22nd March 1952 forwarded under No.G-40,dated 2-4-1952 of Ex.Engr.Kolhapur Dn.

Respected Sir,

With reference to above No. I beg to state as under -

(1) I have already inlormed Shree Patnakar of Gwaliar that I am prepared to repay the amount of stipend as per his letter, and requested for the arrangement of repayment of the total amount,which is still awaited from him.

(2) The things for which I feel very sorry,that forced to suffress the fact of my prior service in Gwaliar Government while applying in this Department are,sickness of my brother by typhoid,oldage of parents,my poverty and immediate cry for the financial help of my parents.

Your honour can very easily imagine how difficult it might have been to assist my family members when I was appointed on Rs.50 + 22 per mensum as a Sub-Overseer by Madhya Bharat Government, and it is the only cause which lead to suppression of fact.

Yours obediently,

Sd/-

P.T.O.

Respectfully submitted through :-

(i) Deputy Engineer,Karad (R&B) Sub-Dn.

(ii) Executive Engineer,Kolhapur Division.

From

The Superintending Engineer,S.C.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,Bombay.

Confidential.
No.G-40

Office of the
Superintending Engineer,S.C.
Belgaum: 5th May 1952.

Subject :- Breach of agreement entered into by Shree R.B.Kharade Overseer with the Madhya Bharat Government.

Reference :- Govt.Endt(P.W.D.) Confdl.No.NS-92/26972-F dated the 28th March 1952

2. A similar letter was received by me also from Lt.Patankar and from a report from the Executive Engineer, Kolhapur Division, called for in that connection, it is observed that the statements made by Lt.Patankar are generally correct.It is not however, correct to say as mentioned by Lt.Patankar that Shree Kharade is about to leave the service in this Department and is designing to go away.Lt.Patankar has stated that the amount due from Shri.Kharade is about Rs.4000/- whereas according to Shri. Kharade's statement,it is about Rs.1600/- This amount will have therefore to be got verified by reference to the Madhya Bharat Government.The Chief Engineer of that Government had also written to, the Deputy Engineer I/C Karad R & B Sub-Dn. in the matter enquiry about the emoluments of Shri.Kharade Overseer, which information has already been furnished to him by the Executive Engineer,Kolhapur Dn., through this office.

3. Shri.Kharade after coming to his native place, applied to this office for the post of an Overseer and was accordingly appointed as a workcharged Overseer and posted to the Kolhapur Dn. While applying for the post Shree Kharade did not make any mention of his previous service in the Gwaliar State. In his letter dated 18-4-1952 (copy attached) he has explained the reasons for withholding the information. Of course the reasons are not satisfactory.

4. This Department cannot undertake to send Shree Kharade through the police.As Shri.Kharade suppressed the information regarding his previous service under the Gwaliar Govt. while applying for a post in this Department, his services are liable to be terminated without notice. But in view of his present financial condition and of the fact that he is willing to repay the amount by instalments, I recommend that he may be allowed to continue in service in the Department and the Madhya Bharat Government may be requested to recover the amount by instalments as per the request of Shri.Kharade. His present pay is Rs.124/- P.M. He is being severely warned for his failure to mention his past service while applying for a post in the Department.

5. The accompaniments received with the Government Endorsement under reply are herewith returned.

D.A.

1 Papers received with the Govt.Endt.

2 Copy of letter from Shri.Kharade.

Superintending Engineer,S.C.

K.I.D.5-5-52

7 119 Dec.

3989/9
10-5-52

The Superintending Engineer,

Southern Circle, BELGAUM.

Bombay Government.

SUBJECT:- RECOVERY OF RS.1,644/- PLUS THE AMOUNT OF INTEREST @ RE.1/- % P.M. AS PER AGREEMENT OF SHRI R.B. KHARADE OVERSEER.

Sir,

With reference to your Con.No.IG-40 dated 12-4-52 on the subject cited above, I have to state that -- Shri Kharade was deputed by the former Gwalior State (now merged in Madhya Bharat) for training in the Kala Bhawan Technical Institute Baroda and was paid as scholar-ship etc. to him, the following sum.

1.	Advance on 19-6-1947	Rs. 450/-
2.	Tution Fee and Hostel charges.	Rs. 87/-
3.	Scholar-ship from June 1947 to March 1950 @ Rs.40/- p.m.	Rs. 1107/-
	TOTAL:	Rs. 1644/-

Plus interest

@ rupee 1/- % per month from 19-6-1947 to the date the amount is recovered in full as per agreement of the scholar.

After passing his examination he served only for a few months in this department, and has left without any intimation.

According to the agreement he entered at the time of deputation for training, Mr. Kharade has to serve the State at least for a period of 5 years failing which he has to refund the amount spent by Government on his training.

Now from the letter under reference it is understood that he is serving in your Circle, as an Overseer on the work-charged establishment in the Building & Roads Sub-Division, Karad.

In the interest of Government money, I, request you to please arrange for the recovery of the above amount from Shri Kharade so that the outstanding amount may be credited.

P..T..O)

An early action in the matter will be highly appreciated.

Yours faithfully,

CHIEF ENGINEER
P.W.D. & IRRIGATION
MADHYA BHARAT GWALIOR.

3990/4
10-5-52

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Bombay Government, BOMBAY for information.

E.G.Judson/9-5-1952.

File No. CFSE 1552
Serial No. 45917

NS.92/44100
45917.

F.Br. P.W.D.

Submitted.

2. One Shri Kharade, at present working as work-charged overseer, Kolhapur Division, was deputed by the former Gwalior State (now merged in Madhya Bharat) for training for the Overseers' Course at Baroda and was paid an amount of Rs.1,644/- during the period from June 1947 to March 1950. Shri Kharade entered into an agreement with the State that he would be serving the State for 5 years. Lt. Narayanrao Patankar stood as security for him. After completion of the course, Shri Kharade was appointed as sub-overseer at Shivpuri (Gwalior State), but he served the State only for about 4-5 months and then, it is alleged, ran away without the permission of the State, thus contravening the terms of the bond.

3. On receipt of the complaint (p.5) by this office from Lt. Patankar that Shri Kharade is at present working under the Ex. Engr., Kolhapur Dn. and action, as suggested by Lt. Patankar in his letter (p.6), should be taken against Shri Kharade, a report (p.11) was called for from the S.E., S.C., who states (p.15) that Shri Kharade applied to him for a post of an Overseer and was appointed as a work-charged Overseer, but Shri Kharade had made no mention in his application regarding his previous service in the Gwalior State. The reasons explained (p.13) by Shri Kharade for withholding this information are not satisfactory. However, in view of Shri Kharade's present financial condition (p.13) and of the fact that he is willing to repay the amount by instalments, the S.E's recommendation (p.12) to allow Shri Kharade to continue in service in this Deptt. may be accepted. In that case, the S.E. will be asked, in the first instance, to state in how

many....

many instalments Shri Kharade is willing to repay the amount due.

EAS
28/5/52

DRN.
28.5.52.

19
29-5-52

D.S.

C.E.

P & S D should see

Secy.
(2-6-52)

Confl.

AB
2/6/52

U.O. to the P & S.D.,
& the 3-6-52.

U.O.

3/6



4/6

693)

"POLITICAL AND SERVICES DEPARTMENT
'D' BRANCH"

Ordinarily Shri Kharde should have been discharged from service on the ground that (i) he had left the service of the Govt. of Madhya Bharat without fulfilling the obligations of that Govt. and (ii) he had deliberately ~~suppressed the fact that~~ he was employed under the Govt. of Madhya Bharat while applying for employment under this Govt. However, it appears clear from Shri Kharde's pathetic letters addressed both to Lieut. Patankar and ~~the~~ Govt. that his financial position was extremely bad and being desperate he left the service under the Govt. of Madhya Bharat where he was paid poorly. It also seems that he had no intention not to fulfil the obligations of the Govt. of Madhya Bharat viz., of reimbursing to it the expenditure incurred by it on his training. In view of this consideration and on compassionate grounds, this Deptt. may perhaps agree to the proposal made by P.W.D. Shri Kharde may however be severely warned for his conduct and told that if there is any omission on his part to reimburse to the Govt. of Madhya Bharat the amount due to it, steps will be taken to terminate his appointment.

6.6.52

As at- H

9/6

Mam/6652

NS 92 | 55097

Submitted with refce. to the W.O.R. dt. the 3-6-52. p.20

2. The P & S.P. while agreeing p.21 to this depts proposal to accept the S.E., S.C.'s recommendation to allow Shri Khande to continue in service in the dept. has also suggested action detailed at portion marked 'A' in its O.R. dt. the 6-6-52. p.21 Before the proposed action is taken, it is necy. to ascertain in how many instalments Shri Khande is willing to repay the amt. due. After receiving this information the Govt. of Madhya Bharat will be requested to state whether it is willing to accept the reimbursement of the amt. due to it by Shri K in instalments. The draft put p 25 up for approval may issue in the first instance.

MS
18/6/52.

LG
18/6/52

D.S.

Confidential Letter

No. NS 92/55097 F.

P.W.D.,
B.C. (B'lng No. 1)
15th b-52.

To

The S.E, S.C.

Sub:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri. R.B. Khanade, overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Ref:- &. your letter No. 640, dt. the 5-5-52. P.15

2. Pl. state in how many instalments Shri Khanade is willing to reimburse to the Govt. of Madhya Bharat the amount due to it, if he is allowed to continue in service in this dept.

By order, etc.,

A.S. to the Govt. of B'lng,
P.W.D.

D.A.- NIL.

Copy to the Ex. Engr., Kotharhna Dn.

18/6/52

15/6/52

15/6/52

No 241
12-6-52

From,

Lt. Narayanrao P-atankar,
Kampoo Brigade,
Lashkar, Gwalior-(M.B.)

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. D. & Irrigation Department
Bombay Province.

P. 8

Re: Your Ref. No. NS 92/26972 F

======

Sir,

P. 5

In continuation of my letter dated the 17th March 1952 in connexion with Mr. R. B. Kharade, Overseer, P.W.D., Karad, this letter is sent to your honour entreating a prompt action.

The undersigned had requested your honour to take suitable action against Mr. Kharade as he had deserted the services of Madhya Bharat Government and by hiding that fact, has obtained the service under your kind honour's control.

P-ersons like him, who cheat government in this way should not be allowed to continue in service.

This is by way of reminder.

Your honour's most obediently

L a s h k a r.
Dated the 11th June 1952.

12-6-52
(N.R.Patankar)

NS 92/ 55991

P.W.D.

F.R.

P 30

submitted with a draft for approval

2/7/92.

S.A.S.

NO. NS 92/55991 F.

P.W.D.,
B.C. Lib'ry Mal).
7-7-52.

To

Lt. Narayanrao Patankar,
Kamboo Brigade,
Lashkar, Gwalior (Madhya Bharat)

Subr.— Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir, With reference to your letter No. 241, dt. the 12-6-52, on the above subject, I am directed to state that the matter is still under the consideration of Govt.

Yours faithfully,

A.S. for Secy. to G. of B., P.W.D.

Confidential.
No.C-40

Office of the
Superintending Engineer, S.C.,
Belgaum: 2nd July 1952.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Southern Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department, Bombay.

Subject :- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri.R.B.Kharade Overseer with the Madhaya Bharat Govt.

Reference :- G.L.P.W.D.No.NS-92/55097-F-Confdl. dated the 19th June 1952.

2. The total amount due from Shri.R.B.Kharade, as ascertained from the Chief Engineer P;W.D. and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat Gwalior, is as under :-

1. Advance on 19-6-1947	Rs.	450
2. Tution fee and Hostel charges	Rs.	87
3. Scholarship from June 1947 to March 1950 at Rs.40/- PM.	Rs.	1107
		1644

Plus interest at rupee one percent per month from 19-6-1947 to the date the amount is recovered in full.

3. Shri.Kharade is getting Rs.166/- per month as pay and allowances and he has undertaken in writing to pay Rs.100/- per month towards the recovery of the above amount I consider that the amount is reasonable and recommend that he may, in consultation with the Madhya Bharat Govt. be allowed to repay the amount in monthly instalments of Rs.100/-

D.A. Nil.

Superintending Engineer, S.C.

K.I.D.1-7-52

~~35~~
135 NS 92 | 62599

~~Land~~ P.W.D

F.B.

Submitted.

2. The C.E, P.W.D. + Irrgn., Mirthya

Bhanrk, Gwalior., may now be addressed
P.34
as per draft put up for approval

LNS
29/7/52.

D.S. 29/7/52

Confidential

No. NS 92 | 62599-F

P.W.D.,
B.C. (Bing No. 1)
24-7-52.

To
The C.E., P.W.D. and Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Subj:— Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Khande, overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir, With reference to your letter No. 3989 | G, dt. the 10-5-52, addressed to the S.E., S.C., Belgaum ~~of this State~~, I am directed to state that Shri Khande has undertaken in writing to reimburse to the Govt. of Madhya Bharat the amount outstanding against him with interest in monthly instalments of Rs. 100|-. I am to request you to state whether the ~~Govt. of Madhya Bharat is willing to accept~~ above proposal is acceptable to you and D.A.:— NIL. to communicate if so, the exact total amount that will be recoverable from Shri Khande if recoveries are effected from Sept. 1952.

Yours faithfully,
D.S. to the G. of B.,
P.W.D.

Copy forwarded to the S.E., S.C., with reference to his letter No. G-40, dt. the 2-7-52.

for Secretary.

BM
24/7/52

Confidential.
No. MB 62/62099 F.

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1),
24th July 1952.

From

Shri K. G. Bhate, B.E., A.M.Am.Soc.C.E., A.M.I.E., I.C.E.(I)
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay.
Public Works Department

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department and Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Subject:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri H. B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Government.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3989/G, dated the 10th May 1952, addressed to the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, Belgaum, I am directed to state that Shri Kharade has undertaken in writing to reimburse to the Government of Madhya Bharat the amount outstanding against him with interest in monthly instalments of Rs.100/-. I am to request you to state whether the above proposal is acceptable to you and if so, to communicate the exact total amount that will be recoverable from Shri Kharade if recoveries are effected, say, from September 1952.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

D.A.- Nil.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, with reference to his letter No. G-40. dated the 2nd July 1952.

DRN.
24.7.52.

Issued
25/7/52

NS 92 | 62599

F.B.

P.25

Submitted with draft for approval.

29/9/92

A.S.L.E.

Confidential

M. NS 92/62599 F.

PWD,
B.C. I Bldg No. 1
3-10-92.

To

The C.E., P.W.D. & Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior

Sub:— Breach of Agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Khande, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir,

P. 34

I am to invite a reference to this dept's letter No. NS 92/62599 F, dt. the 24-7-52, on the above subject, and to enquire when a reply thereto may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

A.S. to the Govt. of B'hya,
PWD.

D.A.:- NIL

Issued
SB
6/10/52

(NS 29/92)

Tele { Phone 2367
Gram : 'TAMIR'

No. 8853/G.

FROM

The CHIEF ENGINEER
P. W. D. & IRRIGATION
MADHYA BHARAT, GWALIOR

To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Bombay,
P.W.D., BOMBAY.

Motimahal, Gwalior, dated the 15-11-1952

Subject:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, Sub-Overseer with the Madhya Bharat Government.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence resting with your letter No. NS-92/62599-F dated 24-7-52, I have to state that the matter has been referred to Government and final orders are awaited.

Yours faithfully,
Chief Engineer,
P.W.D. & Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat Govt.,
Gwalior.

Copy to the Secretary to Govt., P.W.D. & Health, Madhya Bharat, Gwalior with reference to his letter No. 5577/VIII-BR dated 30-10-52, with a request to please state if the Bombay P.W.D. may be requested to recover monthly instalment of Rs. 100/- p.m. from Shri R. B. Kharade as agreed to by him. Very early orders in the matter may kindly be sent.

JOHN/-
15/11/52

NS 92/110619

F.Br P.W.D.

Further report from the Ch., P.W.D. & Irrign. Madhya Bharat Govt. may be awaited.

24.11.52

AS (I)

F.Br: P.W.D.

The Ch., P.W.D. & Irrign. Madhya Bharat may be expedited as per draft put up.

13.1.53

AS(I).

W. I
NS 92
110619

F
1.12.52

4T. NS 92/110619.

Confidential.
No. NS 92/110619 F.

P.W.D./.

B.C.Bbay No.1), 15th Jan 53.

To

The CE., P.W.D: & Irigation,
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Sub: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir,

I am to invite a reference to the correspondence resting with your letter No. 8853/C, dt. the 15th Nov. 1952, on the above subject and to enquire when a further report in the matter may be expected.

P-39 ant

Yours faithfully,

13.1.53

Asstt. Secy. to the Govt. of Bbay
P.W.D

M.: Nil

Issued
15/1/53

ant
15/1

Confidential.

No. G.40.

Letter

Office of the
Superintending Engineer, S.C.,
Belgaum: 2nd February 1953.

From

Shri V.B.Manerikar, B.E., B.S.E.(I),
Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department,
Bombay No.1.

Subject :- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, Overseer with the Madhya Bharat Government.

Reference: G.L. (P.W.D.) No. NS 92/62599 F, Confdl., dated the 24th July 1952, addressed to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

2. Shri Kharade, Overseer has since intimated that if the Madhya Bharat Government is not willing to accept his terms of repaying the amount by monthly instalment of Rs.100 each, he is willing to repay the whole amount in two instalments. He is willing to repay the principal Rs.1,644 first and interest in the succeeding four months. In the circumstances, the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat, may kindly be requested to issue necessary orders in the matter early.

D.A. Nil.

Superintending Engineer, S. C.

2/2.

45 117

NS 92/14042

P.W.D.

F.Br.

Shri R.B. Kharade, at present working as overseer on work-charged establishment was deputed by the former Gwalior State (now merged in Madhya Bharat) for training for the Overseer's course at Baroda and was paid an amount of Rs. 1644/- during the period from June 47 to March 50. In lieu of the scholarship money, the overseer entered into an agreement with the State to serve the Gwalior State for a period of 5 years, which he could not fulfill. The Madhya Bharat authorities requested this Govt. to recover the amount of Rs. 1644/- involved in the case.

2. Ordinarily Shri Kharade would have been discharged from service on the ground that he had left the service of the Govt. of Madhya Bharat without fulfilling the obligation of that Govt. However, in view of the pathetic letters addressed by Shri Kharade both to Lieut Patankar (who stood security for Shri Kharade) and this Deptt., this Govt. took a lenient view of his case and recommended his terms ~~for~~ of payment by monthly instalments to the Madhya Bharat authorities.

3. Please see letters at pp 35 and 41 ante, addressed to the C.E., Madhya Bharat. The reply ~~his~~ has not been received as yet. Shri Kharade, probably disturbed on account of this delay -....

delay has offered to pay the principal debt of Rs. 1644 in one instalment and the amount of interest in subsequent ~~for~~ four instalments to the Madhya Bharat Govt.

4. The rate of interest is 12% per annum. The delay on the part of the Madhya Bharat authorities is adding to the liabilities of the overseer. In the circumstances, it is for orders whether the new terms proposed by the overseer may be communicated to the C.E., Madhya Bharat for the acceptance by Madhya Bharat Govt. or their reply to G.L. at p 41 ante may be awaited as the proposal made therein, if accepted by the Madhya Bharat Govt, will ~~not~~ tell less hard on the overseer.

SSI/10-2

JRB 13.2.53

Letter under reply to G.L. page 41, may be awaited. Enquiries may be sent in

Dy. Secy.

Secy.

17/2

17/2

∝P-47 Post:

Resubmitted with a draft for approval

JRB 25.3.53

Dy Secy

47/14 | NS 92/14062.

Confidential.
No. NS. 92/14062-F. P. W. D.,
B.C. (Bbay No. D) 27th Feb 53

To
The CE., P.W.D. & Irrigation
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Sub: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir,

I am to invite reference to the correspondence resting with this Deptt. P-41 and- letter No. NS 92/110619 F, dt. the 15th Jan 1953 on the above subject and to enquire when a ~~fruitless~~ reply thereto may be expected

DA: - Nil

Yours faithfully

Asstt. Secy to the Govt. of Bbay
P.W.D.

Copy to the SE., S.C. for information with ~~ref~~ reference to his letter No. P-43 and- G.40, dt. 2.2.53.

2. The proposal made by the SE. in his letter referred to will be considered on receipt of reply from the CE., P.W.D. & Irrign., Madhya Bharat, to the letter above Q.L

27.2.53

Confidential.
No.NS 92/14042-F

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1),
27th February 1953.

From

Shri J.M.Dave, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department and Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Subject: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Government.

Sir,

I am to invite reference to the correspondence resting with this Department letter No.NS 92/110619-F, dated the 15th January 1953 on the above subject and to enquire when a reply thereto may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

o/c

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department.

D.A:Nil.

VPA.
2[illegible].2.53.

Copy to the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, for information ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ with reference to his letter No.G.40, dated 2nd February 1953.

2. The proposal made by the Superintending Engineer in his letter referred to will be considered on receipt ~~and~~ of reply from the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department and Irrigation Madhya Bharat, to the above Government Letter.

Issued

27/1/53

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT



To The Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay Public Works Department Bombay

Sender's name and address.

NS 927

27703

F



Name-stamp of office of posting.

F

NS 92/16042 F

R.P.-54.

Acknowledgment.

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

RECEIVED a registered* No.

addressed to (name) The Superintending Engineer Southern Belgaum

†Insured for Rs.

†Weighing *(in words)* tolas rates

Signature of addressee Rhanly Supt

Date of delivery 19 3/3/5

*Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet," or "parcel," as the case may be, preceded by the word "Insured," if the article is insured.

†To be filled up only in the case of insured articles, and to be scored out in the case of other articles.

L (J) Y 162

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT.

To

The Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay P. W. D. Department

Sender's name and address.

Name and No. of office preceding.

P. W. D. Secretariat File. No. NSQ Bombay 28102

CENTRAL BUILDING 12 MAR 53 BOMBAY DELY.

7.B.

await

Mk

10.3.53

NS92/14042-F

R.P.-54.

Acknowledgment.

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

RECEIVED a registered* No.

addressed to (name) The Chief Engineer — Public Works Department & Irrigation Madhya Bharat, Gwalior

†Insured for Rs.

†Weighing *(in words)* tolas rates

Signature of addressee

Date of delivery 19 A/2 4.3.55

*Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet," or "parcel," as the case may be, preceded by the word "insured," if the article is insured.

†To be filled up only in the case of insured articles, and to be scored out in the case of other articles.

L (J) Y 162

G. C. P., G. 2573-1-53-10M.

55 157

Tele {Phone : 2367
Gram : 'TAMIR'

No. 41771/G

FROM

The CHIEF ENGINEER,
P. W. D. & IRRIGATION,
MADHYA BHARAT, GWALIOR

To

The Asstt. Secretary to the
Government of Bombay,
P.W. Department,
Bombay Castle,
Bombay No. 1.

Motimahal, Gwalior, dated the 18-4-1953.

Subject:- BREACH OF AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BY SHRI R.B.KHARADE, OVERSEER WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADHYA BHARAT.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. NS/1106/9-F dated 15-1-1953 and subsequent reminder No. NS/92/1402-F dated 27-2-1953, on the subject noted above, I have to state that the case is still under consideration of the Government. According to legal opinion, it cannot be settled unless the surity, Shri Patanker, does not become a party to the proposal of Shri Kharade.

Please, therefore, inform to Shri Kharade that his proposal to pay the amount by instalment of Rs.100/- p.m. can only be accepted, if he can make his surity, Shri Patanker, to agree at his proposal.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Engineer,
P.W.D. & Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat Govt.,
Gwalior.

GNJohn/-
16/4/53

NS 92/46422

P. W. D.
'F'
pp-19-20

Please see office notes dated 28.5.52 and 13.2.53 and G.L. at p. 35 ante.

2. The C.E., P.W.D., Govt. of Madhya Bharat has intimated that the proposal made by this Deptt. on behalf of Shri Kharade is still under the consideration of Govt., as according to legal opinion, it cannot be settled unless the surity Shri Patankar agrees to such arrangement.

3. Presumably the legal opinion demands that Shri Patankar, who was a party to the previous agreement should continue to be so so long as Shri Kharade has not paid the amount in full. In other words, the Madhya Bharat Govt. is not prepared to enter into a separate agreement with Shri Kharade and relieve Shri Patankar of his responsibility. The stand taken by the Madhya Bharat authorities appears to be sound in principle.

4. As has been explained in the office note, dt. 13.2.53, the delay is unnecessarily adding to the liabilities of Shri Kharade as the rate of interest is high and Shri Kharade, in terms of his agreement, has to pay interest as long as he has not paid the amount in full. In the circumstances, there appears no point in waiting for a further reply from the Madhya Bharat Govt. and in order to close this matter once for all, this Deptt. may inform the Madhya Bharat Govt. that Shri Kharade is prepared to pay the amount ~~with interest~~ and interest in succeeding four months in lump sum, as has been already intimated by him and that the Madhya Bharat Govt. may intimate to us as to what amount is due from Shri Kharade and how and where he should be asked

This may be done
Ahs/4/53

to.....

to remit the sum.

25.4.53.

GJP.
23/4/53

Dy. Secy.

CE (R&B)

CE (I).

Secy.

Resubmitted with a draft for approval

6.5.53

5/5/53 Dy Secy

55/NS 92/46422

Confidential. 161 title P.W.D

No. NS 92/46422 F B.C. (Bombay No. 1), 6th May 53

To

The CE., P.W.D. & Irrign
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Sub: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R. B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir,

Ref: Correspondence resting with your letter No. 4177/C, dt. 18.4.53. P-55 ante

2. Shri R. B. Kharade, overseer, has since intimated that if the Madhya Bharat Govt. is not willing to accept his terms of repayment by monthly instalments of Rs 100/- each, he is prepared to repay the principal amount of Rs 1,644 in lump sum and the interest in the succeeding four months. I am to request you to state whether the above proposal will be acceptable to the Madhya Bharat Govt. and if so, to communicate the

Exact ——

exact amount that will be recoverable from Shri Kharade and how and where he should be asked to remit the same

DA. Nil.

Yours faithfully,

Dy. Secy. to the Govt of Bombay
P.W.D.

Issued
8/5/53

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

Sender's name and address. } E 1515 Asstt. Secy to the Govt of Bombay. Public works Deptt. Secretariat Bombay No 92

Name-stamp of office of posting.



302/9/15/153 NS 92/46

R.P

Acknowledgment.

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

RECEIVED a registered* letter No.

addressed to (name) Chief Engineer, Public Works Deptt. and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat Gwalior

†Insured for Rs.

†Weighing *(in words)*

Signature of addressee

Date of delivery 19

*Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet," or "parcel," as the case may be, preceded by the word "insured," if the article is insured.

†To be filled up only in the case of insured articles, and to be scored out in the case of other articles.

L (J) ₹ 162

63 / 165 KF1 NS 92 / 56776

F. Por. P. W.D.

Submitted with reference to G.L. at PP v 59-60 ante.

- 6 to post 2. The draft put up may issue, if approved.

(12R) 10.7.53.

17710

AS(T.)

Confidential

~~57~~ 64

No. NS 92/56776·F

P.W.D.,
B.C (Bbay No.1), 11th July 53.

To
The C&., P.W.D. & Irrign.
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Subs: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Madhya Bharat Govt.

Sir

I am to invite reference to the correspondence resting with this Deptt. letter No. NS 92/46422 F, dt. the 6th May 1953, on the above subject and to enquire when a reply thereto may be expected.

I.L. ... 60 ank.

Yours faithfully,

Asstt. Secy. to the Govt of Bbay
P.W.D.

13/7/53

DA :- Nil

10.7.53

~~7 Br.~~ 167 ~~65~~ NS 92/56776

P.W.D.

Resubmitted with refee to G.L. No. 64 NS 92/56776-F, dt the 11.7.53.

2. The draft 66 pul- up for apptl. may issue

14.8.53.

~~A.S.(I).~~

Confdl.

No. NS92 56776F.

P.W.D.,
Br. (B'bag no. 1),
17th Aug. 1953.

To

The C.E., P.W.D. & Irrgn.,
Madhya Bharat,
Gwalior.

Sub:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Govt. of Madhya Bharat.

Sir,

I am to invite a reference to this G.L., P.W.D. (confdl.) No. NS92/46422F, Dlw- 6.5.53, and subsequent reminder thereto, on the subject mentioned above, and to enquire when a communication in the matter may be expected.

Yours ffly.

A.I.

D.O.:- Nil.

14.8.53.

18/8/53

G. C. P., G. 2573-1-53-10M.

CONFIDENTIAL

Tele {Phone : 2367
Gram : 'TAMIR'

No. 8708/G-80/50-3

FROM

The CHIEF ENGINEER,
P. W, D. & IRRIGATION,
MADHYA BHARAT, GWALIOR

To

The Deputy Secretary to
the Government of Bombay,
P.W.D., Bombay.

Motimahal, Gwalior, dated the 13-8-1953

Subject:- BREACH OF AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BY SHRI R.B.KHARADE, OVERSEER WITH THE MADHYA BHARAT GOVERNMENT.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 92/46422.F dated 6-5-53 and reminder No. N.S./92/56776-F dated 11-7-53, on the above subject, I have to inform you that the Government of Madhya Bharat agree to accept the principal amount of Rs. 1,644/- in lump sum and the interest accrued thereon in succeeding four months.

The amount of interest due is being communicated separately. In the meanwhile, the principal amount of - Rs. 1,644/- (Rupees one thoundand six hundred forty four) only may be arranged to be sent by a Demand Draft on the Imperial Bank of India, Gwalior, in the name of the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Yours faithfully,

CHIEF ENGINEER,
P.W.D. & IRRIGATION,
MADHYA BHARAT GOVT.,
GWALIOR.

Copy with compliments forwarded to the Secretary to Govt., P.W.D., Madhya Bharat, Indore for information in reply to his letter No. 3577/VIII/BR/437/52 dated 31-7-53.

GNJohn/-
11/8/53

65

1511

NS 92/96219

P. W. D.

F:Br.

The papers relate to the breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, with the Govt.of Madhya Bharat. Shri Kharade is at present working as an Overseer in the Kolhapur Dn. on the work charged establishment. Pl. see the O.Ns. dated the 28.5.52, 13.2.53 and 25.4.53, and G.L. No.NS 92/46422-F, dated the 6.5.53, which explain the facts of the case. The Govt. of Madhya Bharat was requested to state whether that Govt. is agreeable to accept the amount of Rs.1,644/- which Shri Kharade was prepared to repay in lump sum and the interest thereon in succeeding four months.

2. Pl. see the reply from the Govt.of Madhya Bharat within. That Govt. has now agreed to accept the principal amount of Rs.1,644/- in lump sum and the interest accrued thereon in succeeding four months. Attention in this con. is invited to portion 'A' of the P.& S.D. note dated 6.6.52. That Deptt. has advised that Shri Kharade should be severely warned for his conduct ~~thereon~~ and told that if, there is any omission on his part to reimburse to the Govt. of Madhya Bharat the amount due to it, steps would be taken to terminate his apptt. It is presumed that Shri Kharade is to be warned for he had deliberately suppressed the fact that he was employed under the Govt.of Madhya Bharat while applying for employment under this Govt.

3. The draft put up may issue, if approved.

28/8/53.

D.S.
C.E.
Secy.

He is paying the interest on 1644 ? SE has
or in one lump
reported instalments

28/8/53

N 592/96219.

F Be. PWD.

Resubmitted with refee. to the ~~D.S.~~'s query overleaf.

2. Attention in this con. is kindly invited to portion "A" of the S.E.'s letter on f 43 ante. It will be seen therefrom that Shri Kharade is prepared to refund the principle amount of Rs. 1,644/- in lump sum and the interest thereon in succeeding four months.

29.8.53.

31/8/53

~~D.S.~~

29.8.53

C.E.

Secy

7/9

71 172

Confdl.
No.NS 92/96219-F.

P. W. D.,
B.C. (Bombay No.1),
8th Aug.1953.

To

The C.E.,P.W.D. & Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Sub: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, Overseer, with the Govt.of Madhya Bharat.

Sir,

With ref. to the corres. resting with your letter (Confdl.) No.8708/G-80/50-3, dated the 13.8.53, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to state that the S.E.,S.C.,Belgaum, has been instructed to recover in lump sum from Shri R.B.Kharade, Overseer, the principal amount of Rs.1,644/- which is outstanding against him, and the interest accrued thereon, in succeeding four months. The S.E. has also been instructed to arrange to send the principal amount of Rs.1,644/- only by a Demand Draft on the Imperial Bank of India, Gwalior, in the name of the C.E.,P.W.D.,Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

2. I am to request you to intimate to this Government at an early date the amount of interest due on the above amount.

Yours faithfully,

Asstt. Secy. to the Govt.of Bombay,
P. W. D.

D.A:Nil.

Copy, with a copy of the letter under reply forwarded in continuation of G.E. (Confdl.) No.NS 92/14042-F, dated the 27.2.53, to the S.E.,S.C.,for information and necy. action urgently as stated above.

2. The amount of interest due on the principal amount will be communicated to the S.E. on hearing from the C.E. P.W.D. and Irrign.,Madhya Bharat,Gwalior.

3. Shri Kharade should be severely warned for having deliberately suppressed the fact that he was employed under the Govt.of Madhya Bharat, while applying for employment under this Govt. Shri Kharade should further be informed that if there is any omission on his part to reimburse to the Govt.of Madhya Bharat the amount due

to it, steps will be taken to terminate his apptt.

4. The action taken in the matter should please be reported to Govt.

28/8/53.

bf 21-72 17 175

Below G.L.P.W.D.No.NS-92/96219-F dated the 8th September 1953 regarding breach of agreement entered into by Shri.R.B.Kharade Overseer with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

=====

Confidential
No.G-40

Office of the
Superintending Engineer,S.C.
Belgaum. 22-9-53

Copies with a copy of the accompaniment forwarded, with compliments, to the Executive Engineer, Kolhapur Dn. for information with reference to this office endt.No. G-40 Confdl dated the 13th March 1953.

2. Since Shri.Kharade has already given his willingness to pay the principal amount of Rs.1644/- in one lump sum he should be asked now to do so immediately by producing a demand draft on the Imperial Bank of India Gwalior in the name of the Chief Engineer P.W.D. Madhaya Bharat Gwalior to be purchased by Shri.Kharade at his own cost. It should be obtained from him and sent to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. Madhya Bharat Gwalior direct under intimation to this office and Government. As the transaction is a private one it should not pass through the Government accounts. but a manuscript receipt for having received the demand draft may be given to Shri.Kharade by the Executive Engineer pending final receipt from Chief Engineer, P.W.D. Madhya Bharat which should be obtained in Shri.Kharade's favour. The amount of interest will be intimated to him in due course.

3. Shri.Kharade should be severely warned for having deliberately suppressed the fact that /he had been employed under the Government of Madhya Bharat, while applying for employment under the Bombay Government and should be informed that if there is any omission on his part to reimburse to the Government of Madhya Bharat the amount due to it, steps will be taken to terminate his appointment vide para 3 of the above endorsement.

D.A.

One copy of the letter from the Chief Engineer, Madhya Bharat.

24/9/53

Superintending Engineer,S.C.

21-9-53

Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bombay for information.

7Be. P.W.D.

Submitted with reference to G.E. No. PP71-72 NS92/96219F, dt. 8-9-53.

2. Further developments in the matter may be awaited.

25/9/53.

for A.S. (I)

NS 92

Serial No. 112/15

Copy

Tele { Phone: 2367
Gram: "TAMIR"

73 Hot-175

No 9600/G-80/80-3

FROM

The CHIEF ENGINEER,
P. W. D., AND IRRIGATION,
MADHYA BHARAT, GWALIOR.

To

The Dy. Secretary to the
Government of Bombay,
P.W.D. Bombay.

Motimahal, Gwalior, dated the 3-9- 1953.

Subject:- BREACH OF AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BY SHRI R.B.KHARADE, OVERSEER WITH THE MADHYA BHARAT GOVERNMENT.

Ref:- Your confidential letter No.NS-92/56776-F dated 17th August, 1953.

Sir,

In reply to your above quoted letter, I have to request you to please refer this office letter No. 8708/G dated the 13th August, 1953 in which the detail particulars have been given.

Kindly expedite remittance of the amount.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Engineer,
P.W.D. & Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat Govt.,
Gwalior.

3/9

GNJohn/-
2/9/53

NS92/105408. 24

7 Br. P.W.D.

Awacl. 71-72

10.9.53.

A.S.(I)

F. W. D.
File No. NS92
Serial No. 105408

F

8/1-55

G. C. P., G. 2573-1-53-10M.

27 1/67 179

Tele { Phone: 2367
Gram : 'TAMIR'

Confidential

No. 5959

FROM

The CHIEF ENGINEER,
P. W. D. & IRRIGATION,
MADHYA BHARAT, GWALIOR

To

The Assistant Secretary
to the Government of India,
P.W.D., Bombay Castle, BOMBAY No.1.

Motimahal, Gwalior, dated the 6.10.53 195 .

Subject: Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Govt. of M.B.

-o-

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 671 N/S-92/96219/F Confidential dated 8.9.53 on the above subject, I have to inform you that a Demand Draft for Rs. 1,644/- towards remittance of the principal amount by the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, Belgaum is still awaited. This may kindly be expedited.

As regards recovery of interest accruid on the principal @ 1 % per month from 19.6.47 to 8.9.53 as per terms of agreement, it is requested that a sum of Rs. 1,011/4/6 be recovered from Shri Kharade in four succeeding months and the amount arranged to be remitted to this office.

Yours faithfully,
G V Awale
CHIEF ENGINEER
P.W.D. & IRRIGATION
MADHYA BHARAT GWALIOR
5/10

MPB/3.1 0

29 179
181

NS 92/117920

P.W.D.

F Br.

Submitted with reference to G.L.No:NS 92/96219 F, dated the 8-9-53. p71

2. The Madhya Bharat Govt. have intimated p71 that the amount of Rs.1,011/4/6 representing the interest accrued on the principal amount at 1% per month from 19-6-47 to 8-9-53, as per terms of agreement, is due from Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer. The S.E.,S.C., may be requested to recover this amount in four succeeding months and arrange to send it to the Madhya Bharat Govt. direct.

3. The draft put up may issue, if appd. p81

12.10.53.

13/10/53

Letter

Confdl.
No:NS 92/117920 F

P. W. D.
B.C. (Bombay No:1),
14th Oct. 1953.

To

The S.E.,S.C.

Sub:-Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Govt. of Madhya Bharat.

I am directed to refer to the correspondence resting with your endt. 675 (confdl). No:G-40, dated the 22nd September 1953, and to enquire whether the principal amount of Rs.1,644/- has since been recovered in lump sum from Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer.

2. The Chief Engr., P.W.D. & Irrgn., Madhya Bharat, has now intimated 677 that a sum of Rs.1,011/4/6 representing the interest accrued on the principal amount at 1% per month from 19-6-47 to 8-9-53 as per terms of agreement, is due from Shri Kharade. I am to request you to recover this amount from him in four succeeding months and arrange to send it to the Madhya Bharat Govt. direct.

By order etc.,

Asstt. Secy. to Govt.
P.W.D.

D.A.-Nil

12-10-53.

14/10/53

83

CONFIDENTIAL.

No.G-40.

Office of the
Superintending Engineer, S.C.,
Belgaum: 09 OCT 1953

From

The Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department,
and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat,
Gwalior.

Subject:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri.R.B.Kharade, Overseer with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

Reference: Your letter No.568/C-80/ 50-3 confidential dated the 26th September 1953.

Sir,

The Executive Engineer, Kolhapur Division, under whom Shri.Kharade, is serving has been instructed to obtain the necessary demand draft in your favour on the Imperial Bank of India Gwalior from Shri.Kharade and to send it to you direct.

Yours faithfully,

Superintending Engineer, S.C.,

8/10

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bombay, with reference to this office No.G-40 dated the 22nd September 1953.

75

84

FBE. PWD.

Avail-ab 81

15/10/53.

A.S. (I).

15/10

15/10

File No. 1191 48

12/10/53

85

Confidential
No. G-40.

Office of the Ex-Engineer,
Kolhapur Division,
Kolhapur. Dated :- 5-11-1953.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D. and Irrigation
Madya Bharat Gwalior.

Subject :- Breach of agreement centered into by Shri. R.B. Kharade, Overseer with the Govt. of Madhya Bharat.

Ref. :- Govt. Letters P.W.D. No. NS 92/96219-F NS-92/117920-F of 8-9-52 & 14-10-53 respectively.

I am submitting herewith a demand draft No. CJ. 61118 of 5-11-53 on the Imperial Bank of India for Rs. 1894/- One thousand eight hundred and ninty four only (ie. Rs. 1644/- principal amount and Rs. 250/- Ist instalment of interest on Rs. 1011-4-6) received from Shri. R. B. Kharade Overseer for favour of further disposal and request you kindly to acknowledge the amount and issue necessary receipt for same at your earliest.

Executive Engineer,
Kolhapur Division.

Accoumpts
one Demand Draft
Ns. CJ. 61118
of 5-11-53.

Copy forward to Chief Engineer P.W.D. Bombay.

Copys forwarded with compliments to the Superintending Engineer Sc. Belgaum for information with reference to his End. Nos. G-40 (Confidential) dated 22-9-53 and 23-10-53.

A manuscript has been issued to Shri Kharade Overseer as desired.

NS 92 130998 7

ABE

PWD

Avail:

w.r.t para 2 c, F/L of - p. 81

11/11/83.

ASO/III B.

A.V. (I)

NS 92
130998

Confidential.

No.G-4८/
Executive Engineer's Office,
Kolhapur, 8th Dec/1953.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department and Irrigation
Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Subject:-Breach of agreement into by Shri.R.B.Kharade, overseer with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

Reference:-Govt.letters, P&W.D. No.NS 92/96219-F and NS 92/11792८-F of 8-9-1953 and M4-1८-1953 respectively.

I am forwarding herewith a Demand Draft bearing No.CT 31426 of 7-12-1953 for Rs.25८/- two hundred and fifty only being the 2nd instalment of interest of Rs. 1८11-4-6 on the principal amount of Rs.1644/-, received from Shri.R.B.Kharade, overseer for favour of further disposal.

2/- It is requested that the receipt may kindly be made out in Shri.R.B.Kharade's name and sent to this office at your earliest for handing it over to him.

Accompts.

One Demand Draft.

8/12

V.S. Dokl
Executive Engineer,
Kolhapur Division.

Kulkarni, 7/12.

Copy-f.w.cs. to the Chief Engineer,P.W.D.Bombay,
Superintending Engineer,
Southern Circle, Belgaum, for information.

FBE. PWD.

Avail. b81

16/11/53. 16/12/53 H.S. (T).

16/1

F 11/1

P. W. D.
No. 1446/8
Serial No.

F

CONFIDENTIAL.
No.G.40.

Office of the Ex.Engineer, P.W.D
Kolhapur, 7th January 1954.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department and Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat,
Gwalior.

Subject:- Breach of agreement into by Shri B.B.Kharade overseer, with the Government of Madhya Bharat

Reference: Government letter P.W.D. No.NS-92/96219-F and NS92/117920-F of 8.9.1953 and 14.10.53 respectively.

I am forwarding herewith a Demand Draft bearing No.- CT-31531 of 5th January 1954 for Rs.250/- two hundred and fifty only, being the 3rd instalment of interest of - Rs.1011-4-6 on the principal amount of Rs.1644/-, received from Shri R.B.Kharade, overseer, for favour of further - disposal.

3. The receipt may please be made out in the name of Shri R.B.Kharade and sent to this office at your earliest convenience.

Accompts:-
One Demand Draft
for Rs.250/-.

(A.S. Adke)
Executive Engineer,
Kolhapur Division.

AGM/5.1.

**

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bombay/ Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, Belgaum, for information.

9.0

FBR. P.W.D.

Await PBI (Tarnab)

12/1/94 12/1/94 AS (I).

12/1

Sd/- AM 11

S-92

3989

Registered Post A.D.

Confidential.

No.G-40/
Executive Engineer's Office,
Kolhapur, 6th Feb/1954.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D. and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

Subject:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri.P.B.Kharade, overseer with the Govt.of Madhya Bharat.

Reference:-Govt.letter,P.W.D.No.N.S.92/96219-F and N.S.92/117920-F of 8-9-1953 and 14-10-1953 respectively.

I am forwarding herewith a Demand Draft bearing No.CT 31654 dated the 5th February 1954 for Rs. 261-4-6, two hundred sixty one, Annas four and pies six only, being the 4th and last instalment of interest of Rs.1011-4-6 on the principal amount of Rs.1644/- received from Shri.P.B.Kharade, overseer,for favour of further disposal.

2/- The receipt may please be made out and sent in the name of - Shri.P.B.Kharade and sent to this office at your earliest convenience.

Accounts.

One Demand Draft for Rs.261-4-6.

Executive Engineer,
Kolhapur Division.

Kulkarni.5/2.

P.T.O

92

Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the

(i) Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bombay,

(ii) Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, Belgaum,

for information.

...



1953 NS-92/17655.
P.W.D.

7Bz.

Pl. see G.Ls. on pp 71-72 & 81 ante.

The principal amount of Rs. 1,644/- and the amount of Rs. 1,011/4/6 — representing the interest thereon, which was outstanding against Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, as per the terms of agreement between him & the Madhya Bharat Govt. have been fully repaid by Shri Kharade to that Govt. vide Ex. Engr., Kolhapur Dr's endts. on pp 83-91 within. No further action on these papers seems necy. & they may be recorded.

AS.(I) 12/2/54. 12/2/54

Kavathe-Mahankal.
Date : 15-12-1953.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D. and Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat, GWALIOR.

Subject:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, Overseer with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

Respected Sir,

I beg to place before your honour the following few lines for the favour of your kind and sympethetic consideration.

As per terms of agreement entered into by me I have paid the principal amount of Rs. 1644/- and two instalment of Rs. 250 each towards interest.

As a matter of fact, as per terms of agreement I am to pay the interest amounting to Rs.1011-4-6 accumulated on the principal amount of Rs. 1644/-

In respect of rate of interest, I am however humbly requesting your honour to reduce the rate of interest from Rs.12% per annum to a normal Government rate of interest as may be deemed fit in view of these difficult days and to recover the interest upto 5-3-1951 which is the date of my joining duties in Kolhapur P.W.D.

Hoping to be excused for the troubles and awaiting your honours favourable reply at a very early date.

Yours faithfully,

SD/- R.B.Kharade.

Respectfully submitted through :-

1) Deputy Engineer, Vajracounde Bandhara Sub Division, Kavathe-Mahankal

2) Executive Engineer, Kolhapur Division, Kolhapur.

3) Superintending Engineer, Souther Circle (P.W.D.) Belgaum.

Confidential
No. VI 18/832

Office of the Deputy Engineer,
Vajrachounde Bandhara Sub Dn.,
Kavathe-Mahankal. 15th Dec. 1953.

Passed on with compliments, for favour of further disposal.

Sd/-
Dy.Engr., Vajrachounde Bandhara Sub Dn.

P. T. O.

No.G-40/
Executive Engineer's Office,
C.V Kolhapur, 22nd Feb. 1954.

Passed on with compliments for favourable consideration.

SD/-

Executive Engineer,
Kolhapur Division.

Through

The Superintending Engineer, S.C., Belgaum
with compliments.

197 1-54 199

Below Executive Engineer, Kolhapur Division's endorsement No.G-40, dated the 22nd February 1954, regarding breach of agreement entered into by Shri.R.B.Kharade, Overseer with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

.....

No. G-40.

Office of the
Superintending Engineer, S.C.
Belgaum: -2 MAR 1954

Transmitted with compliments to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department and Irrigation, Madhya Bharat, Gwalior.

The Overseer has paid the full amount of Rs.1644 plus Rs.1011-4-6 interest. The request of the Overseer may kindly be favourably considered.

Sd/- V.B.Manerikar
Superintending Engineer, S.C.

VBJ/28-2.

THROUGH : The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bombay No.1, with compliments.

NS93/30091.

~~182~~ 199
201

Fbz. Plw.

As per the terms of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer in the Kolhapur Dn., with the Govt. of Madhya Bharat, he has paid the principal amount of Rs. 1644/- plus Rs. 1011/4/6- representing interest thereon to that Govt. The S.E., S.C., has now forwarded the applin. of Shri Kharade 695 requesting the above Govt. to reduce the rate of interest and to recover the interest upto the ...

~~1952~~ 1951

the 5.3.51 only on which date he joined this Govt.

2. The 1954 applcn. may, if appd. be forwarded ~~to~~ to the Madhya Bharat Govt. for favourable consideration If so, the draft pul-up may issue, if appd.

16.3.54.

Mr/13/3/54 Addnl. DS.

Confdl. ~~185~~ ~~103~~ 209

No. N 593/ 30091 F. P.W.D.,

B.C. (B'G No. 1),

18th Mar. 1954.

To

The C.E., P.W.D. & Irrgn.,

Madhya Bharat-

Gwalior.

Sub:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Govt. of Madhya Bharat.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith endt. No. 699 G.40, dt. the 2.3.54, from

from the S.E., SC., & its accompts. ~~104~~ 95-96
on the subject noted above,
I am to request that the
request of Shri R.B. Kharade,
Overseer, contained in his
appln. 95 may kindly be considered
favourably.
2. This has refce. to letter (Confdl.) No. E-60, 91
dtd 6-2-54. from the Sr. Engr., Kolhapur Dn., addressed to you.

Yours fflly.

Dft:- Encl. & 99
its accompts.
95

for C.E., PWD.

Copy forwarded to the
S.E., SC., ~~with refce~~. for info.
16-3-54.

17/3

CONFIDENTIAL.
No.NS 93/30091 F.

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle, (Bombay No.1);
18th ~~February~~ March 1954.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department & Irrigation,
Madhya Bharat,
GWALIOR.

Subject:- ~~Breach of~~ agreement entered into by Shri R.B.Kharade, Overseer, with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith endorsement No. G-40, dated the 2nd March 1954 from the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle and its accompaniments on the subject noted above, I am to request that the request of Shri R. B. Kharade, Overseer, contained in his application may kindly be considered favourably.

2. This has reference to letter (Confidential) No.G-40, dated the 6th February 1954 from the Executive Engineer, Kolhapur Division, addressed to you.

Yours faithfully,

R B Kharade

o/c
for Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

D.A.- Endorsement &
its accompaniments.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, for information.

Superintending
E
17/3/54 19/3.

air 19/3
96
14

I, b

For. P.W.D.

The Govt. of Madhya Bharat may be addressed as per draft put-up for apbl. pb 107-108

3/7-54.

17/7/54 A.S. (I)

Confdl

No. NS93/30091F. PWD.,

B.C.CB's No. i),

To 5th July 1954.

The C.E.,
PWD. & Irrgn.,
Madhya Bharat,
Gwalior

Sub:- As on 1st Aug.

Sir,

I am to invite refee. to this office letter (Confdl) No. NS93/30091F. dt. the 1-3-54, on..

on the subject mentioned above, and to enquire when your reply in the matter may be expected.

Yours faithfully. Dd. Nil.

for Cl., P.W.

3.7.54.

7/7/54

7/7

(7/7)

7/7

Copy of letter No. 86/C/Estt. dated 28.4.1954 from the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. & Irrigation, Gwalior, to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. Bombay Castle, Bombay No. 1.

.....

Subject:- BREACH OF AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BY SHRI R.B.KHARADE, OVERSEER, WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADHYA BHARAT.

.....

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. NS-93/30091-F-Confidential, dated 18-2-54, I have to state that Shri R.B.Kharade was deputed by the former Gwalior State for training in Civil engineering at State expense and as per terms of the agreement he was bound to serve this Government for a minimum period of five years. After completion of his training at Baroda, Shri Kharade joined service in the department for a short time and then abruptly left the place without any intimation and even failed to return to fulfill his agreement. This department was compelled to serve notice to Shri Patankar who stood surety for him and after long last he gave a clue to the whereabouts of Shri Kharade. It will, thus, be admitted that it was rather improper for him to desertt the services in the manner he did.

2. The amount of the principal & interest @ 12/- % per annum was to be recovered from him under the terms of the agreement sanctioned by Government and in view of what has been stated above, there is no case for any consideration being shown, in the matter.

TRUE COPY

16/7

SUPERINTENDENT.

S.C.
16/7

Tele { Phone: 2367
Gram: "TAMIR"

No. 6870/12 211

FROM

The CHIEF ENGINEER,
P. W. D., AND IRRIGATION,
MADHYA BHARAT, GWALIOR.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,
~~Bombay Castle,~~
Bombay No.I. BOMBAY.

Motimahal, Gwalior, dated the 17-7-1954

Subject:- Breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Government of Madhya Bharat.

...

Ref:- Your letter No. Confidential NS 93/30091 F. dated 5th July 1954.

...

Sir,

With reference to the above, I have to invite your attention to this office letter No. 86/C/Estt. dated 28-4-54. (Copy enclosed).

Encl. 1.

Yours faithfully,

CHIEF ENGINEER
P.W.D. & IRRIGATION
MADHYA BHARAT GWALIOR.

S.C.
16/7

HB NS92/9/012.

~~194~~ 214. 213

7BE. PWD.

Submitted w.s. to
this office letter no. p 105 NS93/
3009, F, dt/- 18.3.574, of the
O.N. p 103-107 underlying it.

2. Pl. see the reply from p 111
the Ce., PWD. & Drgn., Madhya
Bharat, within. The letter
dt/- 28.4.574 ref. p 111 to
therein was not recd. in
this office. A copy of the
letter p 109 dt/- 28.4.574 wherein
the Madhya Bharat Govt. has
negatived

negatived the request of Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, may be furnished to the S.E. S.C., & he may be requested to inform Shri Kharade in the matter suitably.

3. The draft put up for 115-116 appd. may issue.

29.7.54.

LG ~~HS~~ NS92/97012
213-214 Pt 10

Below copy of letter No. 86/C/Estt, dtd/- 28-4-54, ~~reg~~ from /- C.E., P.W.D. & Irgn., Gwalior, reg. breach of agreement entered into by Shri R.B. Kharade, Overseer, with the Govt. of Madhya Bharat.

Compdl.

No. NS93/97012 F. P.W.D.,
B.C. (B' Bag No. 1)
31st July 1954

Copy forwarded to /-
S.E. ...

S & S.C., for info. & with a request to inform Shri R B. Kharade, Overseer, in the matter suitably.

2. This has refce. to this office endt. (Confdl) No. NS93/30091F, dtd 18.3.54.

for Ct., PWO.

29.7.54.

2/8/54